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Abstract. The Galilean satellites of Jupiter—Io (J1), Europa (J2), Ganymede (J3), and Callisto (J4)—are embedded in the intense ion and electron fluxes of the Jovian magnetosphere. The effect of these particles on the icy surfaces of the outer three satellites depends on the fluxes and the efficiency of the sputtering of water ice by such particles. Recent laboratory measurements provided data on the erosion of water ice by energetic particles and showed that it occurs much faster than would be expected from normal sputtering theory. The Voyager spacecraft encounters with Jupiter provided the first measurements of ion fluxes (energies \( \approx 30 \) kellelectron volts) in the vicinity of the Galilean satellites. Using the laboratory sputtering data together with particle measurements from the Voyager 1 low-energy charged particle experiment, the effects of erosion on the surfaces of J2 to J4 are estimated. It is shown that the surface of Europa could be eroded by much as 100 meters over an eon (10^9 years). Column densities of water vapor that could be produced around the three satellites from particle bombardment of their surfaces are also calculated, and the losses of oxygen from the gravitational bound gas produced by sputtering or sublimation are estimated.

The outer three Galilean satellites of Jupiter—Europa (J2), Ganymede (J3), and Callisto (J4)—are immersed deeply in the magnetosphere of the planet (1, 2). Reflectance spectra show the surfaces of these three satellites to be covered totally or partially with water ice (3). If there is no appreciable atmosphere or intrinsic magnetic field associated with any of the satellites, the charged particles that impact them will produce erosion of their surfaces. Considerations of this erosion process, as well as the erosion of ice grains in the interstellar and interstellar media, lead to the initiation of laboratory experiments to investigate the sputtering of water ice by charged particles. The surprising discovery was made that the erosion was far greater than expected on the basis of contemporary sputtering theory that successfully accounts for erosion of metallic surfaces (4). These early laboratory results were used, together with extrapolations to lower energies of the particle fluxes reported from the Pioneer 10 encounter with Jupiter, to estimate the ice removal rates from the Galilean satellite surfaces and the possible satellite atmospheric and magnetospheric effects associated with this removal (5). It was concluded that sputtering played a role at least comparable to that of other erosion processes.

The laboratory experiments on the sputtering of water ice have been extended to lower energies (6) and possible mechanisms involved in the sputtering process have been discussed to explain the observed species, energy, and temperature dependence. These new laboratory results are used in this report, together with particle energy spectra measured by the low-energy charged particle (LECP) experiment (7) on Voyager 1, to provide substantially more accurate estimates of the expected erosion of the water ice-covered surfaces of the Galilean satellites.

Results from Earth-based observations and from instruments on Voyager 1 and Voyager 2 show the outer three Galilean satellites to have vastly different surface characteristics. Callisto is approximately 5 to 25 percent ice-covered (3, 8) and heavily cratered (9). Ganymede is 20 to 65 percent ice-covered (3) and less cratered than Callisto, with some linear surface features. Europa is nearly 100 percent ice-covered (3), crisscrossed by linear features, and essentially devoid of craters (9). The ice temperatures of Europa and Ganymede have been estimated as ~ 95 and ~ 103 K (10), respectively, substantially colder than the full-disk brightness temperatures of ~ 124 and 135 K, respectively (11). In this report we consider these upper and lower limits on the average temperatures. The characteristics of the surface of J2 may result from the Galilean satellite equivalent of tectonic processes. However, aspects of the smooth surface may also result from particle bombardment of the surface, as noted by the Voyager 2 imaging experiment team (9) and discussed below.

During the interval when Voyager 1 crossed the orbit planes of the Galilean satellites, the LECP experiment was in its planetary near-encounter mode in order to measure the most intense particle fluxes. The data used here were obtained by the low-energy magnetosphere particle analyzer (LEMPA) subsystem, which cannot separate ion species. However, from data taken before switching into this mode, just outside the orbit of J4 when ion species were being separately identified and counted by the low-energy particle telescope (LEPT) subsystem, we know that the ratio of fluxes of heavy ions (oxygen and sulfur) to the fluxes of protons and helium was rapidly increasing with decreasing distance from Jupiter (2, 12). This would be expected in view of satellite contributions to the magnetosphere ion fluxes, such as the sputtering discussed here, and the volcanic vents of Io (J1). The erosion rates discussed in this report are calculated as lower (upper) limits, assuming that all of the ions incident on a satellite surface are protons (oxygen).

Plotted in the inset to Fig. 1a are the differential ion fluxes \( dI(E)/dE \) (where \( J \) is the flux of ions of energy \( E \)) measured on Voyager 1 by LEMPA. These fluxes are used to calculate the water ice erosion flux \( \Phi(HO_2) \) (cm^{-2} sec^{-1}) for the satellites, assuming each is 50 percent ice-covered

\[
\Phi(HO_2) = 0.5 \int_0^\infty \frac{dI}{dE} S(E) dE d\Omega
\]

where \( S(E) \) is the laboratory water ice "sputtering" coefficient measured for incident ion species \( i \). The proton erosion coefficient \( S_{H} \) increases from \( \approx 0.2 \) to \( \approx 2 \) for a decrease in incident proton energy from \( \approx 1.5 \) to \( \approx 0.1 \) MeV; it then decreases to \( \approx 0.8 \) for a proton energy of \( \approx 6 \) keV (6). The erosion coefficient varies as the square of the incident particle electronic energy loss \( [dE/dx]^2 \) in the water ice for energies greater than \( \approx 1 \) keV per atomic mass unit. At lower energies collision cascade dominates. The oxygen erosion coefficient \( S_{O} \) is \( \approx 150 \times S_{H} \) in the energy region where both have been measured and is assumed to have the same \( [dE/dx]^2 \) energy dependence at the higher energies. The sputtering also increases rapidly with in-
creasing surface temperature \( T \) above \( \sim 90 \) K. Here we ignore this and therefore our results are lower bounds on the erosion yields.

The ion fluxes \( dJ/dE \) at J3 and J4 were assumed constant below 30 keV when computing Eq. 1; this would place a lower bound on the yield. The ion fluxes at J2 were extrapolated from 100 to 30 keV by using the measured spectral form of the fluxes at J3. Near J1 and J2 the lower energy \( \leq 100 \) keV ion channels of the LEMPA detector were strongly affected by anion-induced dead time, for which some corrections have been made. The uncertainties in the ion fluxes thus arise from three sources: (i) ion species, an uncertainty that is bounded by our considerations of hydrogen and oxygen; (ii) flux extrapolation from lower observed particle energy threshold of \( \sim 30 \) keV; and (iii) assumption of identical spectral form below \( 30 \) keV at J2 and J3.

We believe that the magnitude of the aggregate of these uncertainties does not exceed the uncertainty in actual particle access to a satellite surface arising from a possible tenuous atmosphere or a weak magnetic field. Finally, in calculating the eroded flux at each satellite, each surface was assumed to be uniformly bombarded by particles of all energies. Corrections to allow for corotation of the magnetosphere past a satellite were small compared to other uncertainties.

Figure 1a shows \( \Phi_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \) for each of the satellites, using \( S_{\text{H}} \) and \( S_{\text{O}} \) in Eq. 1. Also plotted as a function of temperature is \( \Phi_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \) due to sublimation, assuming a surface completely frost-covered. If the ice temperatures are those determined by Fink and Larson \( (10) \), particle erosion dominates sublimation for all three satellites for both incident hydrogen and oxygen. Even if the daytime ice temperatures correspond to the surface brightness temperatures \( (11) \), sputtering still dominates over most of the satellites' surfaces. Further, the sputtered particles are "hotter" than sublimed particles and therefore most important in determining surface losses (see below). We note that an \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) erosion rate of \( \sim 1 \times 10^{06} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \) over an age \( \times 10^9 \) years implies total erosion over this time of an ice layer \( \sim 100 \) m thick, which would greatly alter major icy features on a satellite surface. Such an erosion rate on Europa would be consistent with the implanted sulfur results inferred from International Ultraviolet Explorer data \( (13) \).

As yet, we do not have laboratory results on the composition of the eroded species or their velocity distributions. The experiments do show that the water is eroded: no hydrogen or oxygen is preferentially left on a surface. Because the experimental erosion is so large, especially for incident oxygen, it is likely that most of the ice leaves a satellite surface as molecular clumps or \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) molecules \( (4) \). In the following we assume they are molecules or dissociated molecular species.

The sputtered \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) molecules will either escape from the satellite or be reabsorbed on the surface at another point. Molecules that are eventually reabsorbed will form a tenuous "atmosphere" around the satellite. The fraction undergoing either process depends on the energy of the sputtered products expressed as an effective temperature \( (kT) \) and on the sticking coefficient for reabsorbed particles. Based on ballistic trajectories, the atmospheric column density \( N \) of \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) molecules per square centimeter is given as \( (14) \)

\[
N = \frac{2 \times (2\pi k T)^{1/2}}{\kappa M_g} \phi_{\text{net}} \tag{2}
\]

where \( \phi_{\text{net}} = \phi_{\text{sputtered}} - \phi_{\text{escape}} \), \( \kappa \) is the sticking coefficient, the molecular weight \( M = 18 \), and \( g \) is the acceleration due to gravity. Escape from the surface, Jeans escape, is determined by the velocity distribution of the sputtered particles: \( \phi_{\text{escape}} = \phi_{\text{sputtered}}(1 + \lambda s) \times \exp (-\lambda s) \), where \( \lambda_s = E_{\text{escape}}/kT \) and \( E_{\text{escape}} \) is the minimum energy required for escape for \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) molecules. The column density for a partially dissociated atmosphere is plotted in Fig. 1b for J2, J3, and J4 for assumed incident hydrogen and oxygen ions for the case of \( \kappa = 0.5 \) and a temperature of the sputtered molecules of 2000 K \( (0.17 \) eV, based on estimates for other insulating materials \( (15) \). Also shown is the equilibrium vapor pressure of ice as a function of the ice temperature. The sputtered ion contribution dominates the \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) column density produced by sublimation at each of the satellites for the expected ice temperatures given in Fink and Larson \( (10) \) and dominates over the majority of the surface for the higher temperature estimates.

Before the Voyager encounter, a stellar occultation measurement had suggested an atmospheric pressure as large as \( 10^{-5} \) mbar on Ganymede \( (16) \). Both sublimation \( (17) \) and sputtering \( (5) \) were proposed as the source of water for such an atmosphere. Voyager showed no atmosphere on Ganymede to a level of \( 10^{-8} \) mbar \( (18) \). Nevertheless, for theoretical purposes and in comparison with observa-

---

**Figure 1.** Comparison of \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) sublimation flux for complete surface coverage to sputtered \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) flux for incident hydrogen and oxygen over 50 percent of the surface. (Inset) Ion flux spectra from \( 5 \) MeV \( \text{H}^+ \) measured by the Voyager 1 LECP experiment. (b) Column density associated with the equilibrium vapor pressure of ice compared to column density of \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) produced by sputtering, assuming the sputtered molecules have a temperature of 2000 K and a sticking coefficient of 0.5. Assumed surface coverage is 100 percent for sublimation, 50 percent for sputtering.
tion, it is of interest to compare the effectiveness of various processes that could produce an oxygen atmosphere on the Galilean satellites. We have done this for Ganymede; in Fig. 2 the column density of the atmosphere is plotted as a function of the oxygen flux for a variety of oxygen loss and source processes. Source curves 1 and 1' correspond to the Yung and McElroy (17) model of a Ganymede atmosphere, which is based on H₂O produced by sublimation at −142 and −135 K, respectively, in an O₂ atmosphere. For reference, source curves 5 and 5' correspond to the vaporization flux of H₂O with a satellite surface temperature of 120 and 110 K, respectively. Source curves 6 and 6' correspond to the sputtered flux at the satellite surface for 100 percent incident hydrogen and for 90 percent incident H⁺ plus 10 percent incident O⁺, respectively. Source curve 7 corresponds to dissociation of H₂O vapor by solar ultraviolet at the orbit of Jupiter, which chemically leads to the production of oxygen (17).

Loss curve 2 corresponds to oxygen escape arising from photodissociation of O₂ (15). Loss curve 3 corresponds to ionization by incoming protons (including charge exchange), electrons, and solar ultraviolet, with oxygen loss resulting from recombination or sweeping by the corotating magnetic field of Jupiter. Loss curves 4 and 4' estimate condensation on the satellite surface for T = 2000 K (0.17 eV), κ = 0.1, and T = 10,000 K (0.86 eV), κ = 0.05, respectively.

The Yung-McElroy column density for the atmosphere of Ganymede (17) can be determined by the intersection of the processes represented by lines 1 and 2 of Fig. 2. Such a column density would be reduced considerably by inclusion of ionization loss, which is predominantly due to incident particle radiation (curve 3 of Fig. 2). More importantly, it would be reduced drastically by a small surface temperature change, as noted earlier (5) (for instance, curve 1' crosses curves 2 and 3 in the region of 10^14 to 10^15 particles per square centimeter). Thus for average surface ice temperatures much colder than ~135 K, formation of a significant O₂ atmosphere by a sublimation source is very unlikely.

The largest single loss mechanism in a rarefied atmosphere consisting of a molecular or dissociated H₂O vapor is recondensation on a cold surface, as indicated by curves 4 and 4'. (The sticking coefficients are surely larger than assumed in the figure and depend on the fraction of the atmosphere dissociated.) Although for temperatures ~115 K sublimation is the largest source of water molecules, these are cool molecules and hence have even larger condensation rates. At temperatures below about 112 K on Ganymede, sputtering is the dominant source of H₂O in a rarefied atmosphere. With a mixture of 90 percent H⁺ and 10 percent O⁺ incident on the satellite surface, if the atoms and molecules are very hot and the sticking coefficient is very low (curve 4'), a column density of O₂ of ~10^14 cm⁻² can be expected on J3. Thus Figs. 1b and 2 indicate that for an ice temperature ~135 K, an atmosphere column density ~10^15 cm⁻² is unlikely, which is consistent with the Voyager ultraviolet results (1/8).

In summary, we used measured Jovian magnetosphere ion fluxes from Voyager 1 and laboratory measurements of the erosion of water ice by hydrogen and oxygen ions to calculate removal rates of water ice on the surfaces of the Galilean satellites, which are immersed in Jupiter's magnetosphere. Further, we estimated the column densities of an atmosphere that could result from a particle erosion source. Finally, we estimated the source and loss processes for an oxygen atmosphere around Ganymede as an example of the mechanisms that are operative at each of the three ice-covered Galilean satellites.

We note that our considerations of particle impact on the satellite surfaces could be altered by the existence of an intrinsic satellite magnetic field, as discussed for the Galilean satellites (19). An appreciable atmosphere would also limit the erosion rate (5), as indicated by curves 6 and 6' in Fig. 2. The existence of a satellite magnetic field would not totally exclude particles from impacting the satellite surfaces. Indeed, depending on the orientation of an assumed satellite dipole magnetic field with the local Jupiter magnetic field, as well as the efficiency of the intersection of the magnetic fields, it is likely that the bombarding ions could impact a satellite surface in preferential locations. Such a selective bombardment might account for surface brightness variations. A combination of the results in this report with modeling of particle trajectories in satellite magnetic field geometries will help increase our understanding of the surface characteristics of the Galilean satellites.
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