Heparanase deglycanation of syndecan-1 is required for binding of the epithelial-restricted prosecretory mitogen lacritin
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Cell surface heparan sulfate (HS) proteoglycans are carbohydrate-rich regulators of cell migratory, mitogenic, secretory, and inflammatory activity that bind and present soluble heparin-binding growth factors (e.g., fibroblast growth factor, Wnt, Hh, transforming growth factor β, amphiregulin, and hepatocyte growth factor) to their respective signaling receptors. We demonstrate that the deglycanated core protein of syndecan-1 (SDC1) and not HS chains nor SDC2 or -4, appears to target the epithelial selective prosecretory mitogen lacritin. An important and novel step in this mechanism is that binding necessitates prior partial or complete removal of HS chains by endogenous heparanase. This limits lacritin activity to sites where heparanase appears to predominate, such as sites of exocrine cell migration, secretion, renewal, and inflammation. Binding is mutually specified by lacritin’s C-terminal mitogenic domain and SDC1’s N terminus. Heparanase modification of the latter transforms a widely expressed HS proteoglycan into a highly selective surface-binding protein. This novel example of cell specification through extracellular modification of an HS proteoglycan has broad implications in development, homeostasis, and disease.

Introduction

Cell surface proteoglycans are key players in epithelial morphogenesis. They form gradients that immobilize mitogens in proximity to signaling receptors (Wang and Laurie, 2004; Häcker et al., 2005; Radtke and Clevers, 2005), contribute to cellular adhesion by ligating the ECM, and at least in one case participate in integrin coupling (Beauvais et al., 2004; McQuade et al., 2006). Cell surface proteoglycans consist of a core protein and associated glycosaminoglycan chains, mainly heparan sulfate (HS). The current dogma states that mitogen, cytokine, and ECM binding is largely the domain of the anionic HS chains (Couchman 2003; Häcker et al., 2005). HS chains are generated by a complement of Golgi polymerases, epimerase, and sulphotransferases during posttranslational modification. Each is thought to vary in relative activity by cell or tissue type (Perrimon and Bernfield, 2000). Thus, within a given epithelium or endothelium, a structurally similar HS chain can be attached to genetically distinct core protein (Zako et al., 2003).

New work has shed light on how HS proteoglycan specificity is generated in development and disease. Most involve extracellular enzymes that affect cell surface HS proteoglycans in unexpected ways. Removal of certain HS 6-O-sulfates by endo-6-O-sulfatases Sulf1 and -2 disrupts the binding of the bone morphogenetic protein inhibitor Noggin, leading to its dispersal and establishment of bone morphogenetic protein signaling (Viviano et al., 2004). In contrast, this same HS modification diminishes FGF binding and assembly with its signaling receptor (Dai et al., 2005). In another extracellular modification mechanism, HS cleavage by heparanase generates soluble fragments of HS that form complexes of FGF–HS and trigger cellular proliferation, migration, and angiogenesis (Kato et al., 1998). In another mechanism, matrix metalloproteinase-7–dependent shedding of the entire syndecan ectodomain promotes cancer-associated up-regulation of glypican-1 and tumor growth (Ding et al., 2005).

In addition to its HS–dependent signaling mechanisms, recent work has shown that the syndecan core proteins themselves...
participate as cell surface receptors. Their extracellular protein domains regulate the activation of integrins (Beauvais and Rapraeger, 2003; Beauvais et al., 2004; McQuade et al., 2006); bind growth factors, including Wnt, midkine, and pleiotrophin (Deepa et al., 2004; Capurro et al., 2005); and disrupt carcinoma activity when added as recombinant competitors, presumably by disrupting their assembly with other signaling receptors at the cell surface.

Here, we report on a novel mechanism of syndecan-1 (SDC1) signaling that relies on a direct binding interaction of the extracellular core protein domain of the syndecan and modification of the proteoglycan by HS-modifying enzyme. The mechanism involves the partially characterized prosecretory mitogen lacritin, discovered as a consequence of a search for epithelial differentiation factors (Sanghi et al., 2001). Lacritin is a small (12.3 kD) epithelial-selective human glycoprotein. Lacritin signals to stromal interaction molecule 1, mammalian target of rapamycin, and nuclear factor of activated T cells 1 (NFATC1) via rapid PKCε dephosphorylation and phospholipase D activation (Wang et al., 2006) to potentially regulate differentiation, renewal, and secretion by the nongerminate exocrine epithelia that it preferentially targets. With the exception of pancreatic β-cells (Dor et al., 2004), mechanisms of nongerminate epithelial differentiation and renewal are poorly understood. Lacritin-deletion analysis identified a C-terminal mitogenic domain with amphiphilic α-helical structure (Wang et al., 2006) common to many ligand–receptor or ligand–ligand binding sites (Barden et al., 1997; Siemeister et al., 1998). We report here that lacritin’s C terminus targets the SDC1 core protein as a prerequisite for mitogenesis. A second and novel prerequisite is prior modification or removal of HS from the syndecan by heparanase-1. We postulate that the localized action of heparanase converts a widely expressed cell surface proteoglycan into a localized lacritin-binding protein that is required for mitogenic signaling.

Results
Lacritin targets cell surface SDC1
Lacritin promotes epithelial proliferation at low nanomolar levels, suggesting a cell surface–binding $K_D$ in the nanomolar range sufficient for affinity purification of its receptor. An apparent 190-kD cell surface protein eluted from lacritin but not control columns after incubation with detergent lysates of surface biotinylated human salivary gland ductal (HSG) cells in buffer containing physiological levels of NaCl (Fig. 1). Sequencing identified the 190-kD protein as a multimer of human SDC1, a transmembrane proteoglycan that acts as a coreceptor for mitogenic signaling by binding heparin binding growth factors such as FGFs, Wnts, Hhs, and hepatocyte growth factors via its HS glycosaminoglycan chains (Alexander et al., 2000; Esko and Selleck, 2002).

To assess this interaction by affinity precipitation, we created a 293T cell line stably expressing human SDC1 and treated lacritin or positive control FGF2 precipitates with bacterial heparitinase and chondroitinase to remove the large and heterogeneous glycosaminoglycan chains. The supernatant and pellet of the digest were then separately blotted for SDC1 using mAb B-B4 directed against the core protein. B-B4 affinity precipitation of human SDC1 multimers stably expressed by HEK293T cells. Lacritin-intein beads were incubated with cell lysates, washed extensively, and treated with heparitinase 1/chondroitinase ABC. Pellet (P) and supernatant (S) from the centrifuged digest were then blotted with mAb B-B4 for SDC1 core protein. (B) Lacritin-intein, lacritin-GST, FGF2-GST, intein, and GST beads were incubated with lysates from the same HEK293T cells stably expressing human SDC1. Precipitates were washed, treated, centrifuged, and blotted as in A. (C) Lacritin-intein and FGF2-GST beads were incubated with lysate of HEK293T cells stably expressing SDC2 or lysate of another HEK293T cell line stably expressing human SDC4. Beads were washed, treated, and centrifuged as in A. Blots were detected with anti-SDC2 mAb L-18 or anti-SDC4 mAb N-19, respectively. A shows both 190- and 80-kD bands. B and C and all subsequent figures show the 80-kD band, which is more predominant in HEK293T transfectants.

Figure 1. Lacritin affinity purification of cell surface SDC1. Detergent lysates of surface biotinylated HSG cells were incubated overnight in detergent and physiological NaCl with intein-chitin columns either lacking [A] or containing [B] lacritin. After extensive washing in the same buffer, the columns were eluted with 1 M NaCl, and eluted proteins were identified by blotting with streptavidin-peroxidase. A predominant 190-kD biotinylated protein eluting from the lacritin column was identified by mass spectrometry as human SDC1.

Figure 2. Lacritin binding to SDC1 is independent of complete HS/CS glycosaminoglycans. (A) Lacritin-affinity precipitation of human SDC1 multimers stably expressed by HEK293T cells. Lacritin-intein beads were incubated with cell lysates, washed extensively, and treated with heparitinase 1/chondroitinase ABC. Pellet (P) and supernatant (S) from the centrifuged digest were then blotted with mAb B-B4 for SDC1 core protein. (B) Lacritin-intein, lacritin-GST, FGF2-GST, intein, and GST beads were incubated with lysates from the same HEK293T cells stably expressing human SDC1. Precipitates were washed, treated, centrifuged, and blotted as in A. (C) Lacritin-intein and FGF2-GST beads were incubated with lysate of HEK293T cells stably expressing SDC2 or lysate of another HEK293T cell line stably expressing human SDC4. Beads were washed, treated, and centrifuged as in A. Blots were detected with anti-SDC2 mAb L-18 or anti-SDC4 mAb N-19, respectively. A shows both 190- and 80-kD bands. B and C and all subsequent figures show the 80-kD band, which is more predominant in HEK293T transfectants.
SDC1 band (Fig. 2 A). Lacritin-bound SDC1 was consistently detected in the pellet, implying that the ligation was not solubilized by heparitinase/chondroitinase digestion and therefore may involve the core protein. In keeping with this possibility, lacritin did not target SDC2 or -4 (Fig. 2 C) that share HS chains but only 27–28% ectodomain identity with SDC1. FGF2, as expected, bound all three syndecans via heparitinase-elevable HS (Fig. 2, B and C).

**SDC1 binding via a lacritin C-terminal domain**

Lacritin truncation analysis recently identified a C-terminal mitogenic domain capable of forming an amphipathic α helix as per the receptor-binding domain of parathyroid hormone-like protein (Wang et al., 2006). Could SDC1 binding and mitogenic sites be shared? SDC1 binding was unaffected by deletion (Fig. 3 A) of 5 and 10 amino acids from the C terminus (Fig. 3 B) or 15 and 24 amino acids from the N terminus (Fig. 3 C) of lacritin. However, affinity was substantially diminished after five more C-terminal amino acids were deleted (C-15) and completely abolished from C-25 and C-49 lacritin (Fig. 3 B). These data point to a binding site between amino acids 100 and 109 of mature lacritin that mirrors the mitogenic domain. To validate and further probe this observation, lacritin-SDC1 affinity precipitations were competitively challenged with the truncated lacritin mutants (Fig. 4). Soluble lacritin and N-24, but not C-25 and C-59, inhibited binding. Also, inhibitory was recombinant human SDC1 core protein (hS1ED) expressed in *Escherichia coli*, but not HS, CS, or human SDC2 or -4. Collectively, these data suggest that ligation of SDC1 is specified by a region within lacritin’s C terminus that appears to show affinity for SDC1’s core protein but not HS or CS.

**SDC1 is required for lacritin mitogenesis**

Because mitogenic (Wang et al., 2006) and SDC1-binding domains map to the same 10-amino-acid region, we questioned whether competition with recombinant hS1ED would disrupt lacritin-dependent mitogenesis. Soluble hS1ED inhibited proliferation of lacritin-stimulated HSG cells in a dose-dependent manner. The same inhibitory doses had no effect on C-25–treated cells or on FBS-stimulated proliferation (Fig. 5 A). To approach this question differently, HSG cells were depleted of SDC1 by siRNA (Fig. 5 C). Dose-dependent depletion of SDC1, but not depletion of SDC2 (Fig. 5 C), completely abrogated lacritin mitogenic responsiveness (Fig. 5 B). Lacritin signals through Gaα or Gaα/PKCα-PLC/Ca2+/calineurin/NFATC1/cyclooxygenase (COX) 2 toward mitogenesis (Wang et al., 2006). We therefore examined COX2 expression in SDC1- and SDC2-depleted cells. In SDC1 but not SDC2 knockdown cells, lacritin-dependent COX2 expression was absent (Fig. 5 D).
that it migrated as a relatively distinct band (Fig. 1) without prior heparitinase/chondroitinase to excise the heterogenous HS and CS chains. In contrast, native SDC1 without digestion presents as a broad smear (Fig. S1, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200511134/DC1). Also, lacritin affinity precipitated SDC1 was retained in the pellet after heparitinase/chondroitinase digestion. Could the sharply defined 190- and 80-kD bands represent a minor deglycosylated or hypoglycosylated form preferentially enriched because of lacritin’s apparent core protein–related affinity? To explore this possibility, we sequentially depleted either FGF2- or lacritin-bindable SDC1 from lysates and then challenged the depleted lysates with lacritin or FGF2 affinity precipitation, respectively (Fig. 6). Affinity precipitates were treated with heparitinase/chondroitinase before SDS-PAGE to simplify mAb B-B4 detection of the core protein in the digest supernatant (FGF2) or pellet (lacritin). Successive pull-down with FGF2-GST depleted all FGF2-bindable SDC1 (Fig. 6 A, lanes 1–3). Interestingly, the amount of SDC1 available to interact with lacritin-intein was unaffected (Fig. 6 A, lane 4 vs. lanes 1 and 9). Similarly, depletion of SDC1 with lacritin-intein slightly but not substantially diminished SDC1 binding to FGF2-GST (Fig. 6 A, lanes 5–7 vs. lanes 8 and 10). This implies that two pools of SDC1 may be available. One is apparently native SDC1, to which lacritin appears to lack affinity. The other may be an HS-free or partially deglycosylated form of SDC1. Could the latter be an immature intracellular form? This appears not to be the case. When cells were gently trypsinized before lysis, no lacritin-bindable SDC1 was detected (Fig. 6 B) in keeping with the original purification of labeled SDC1 from surface biotinylated cells (Fig. 1). Also ruled out was bacterial heparitinase contamination of recombinant lacritin. We took advantage of 3G10 mAb directed against a desaturated uronate epitope generated by heparitinase digestion (David et al., 1992) and could detect lacritin-bound SDC1 only after treatment with exogenous heparitinase (Fig. 6 C). That heparitinase can create the 3G10 epitope is revealing, for it points to the presence of HS or HS stubs on the core protein that is recognized by lacritin. HS stubs could be generated by heparanase, a eukaryotic endo-β-D-glucuronidase that cleaves the entire HS chains between GlcUA and GlcNAc linkages. Collectively, these data suggest that lacritin and FGF2 target different forms of cell surface SDC1. SDC1 bound by lacritin is less heterogenous, suggesting that although it is decorated with sufficient HS to be recognized by heparitinase, much of its HS has been removed.

Heparanase-dependent lacritin mitogenesis

One hypothesis to explain these data is that heparanase-sensitive HS sterically blocks lacritin binding to a latent core protein site in native SDC1. If this is true, heparitinase digestion of native SDC1 should promote lacritin binding (Fig. 7 A). To study this possibility, SDC1 from cell lysates was purified on FGF2-GST, washed, salt eluted, heparitinase digested, and incubated with lacritin-intein (Fig. 7 A, lane 1 [0.5 M NaCl eluate] and lane 2 [1.0 M NaCl eluate]). As controls, SDC2 and -4 from cell lysates were individually purified on FGF2-GST, washed, salt eluted, heparitinase digested, and incubated with lacritin-intein

**Figure 5. SDC1 is required for lacritin-dependent mitogenesis and COX2 expression.** (A) Proliferation assay in which HSG cells were grown for 24 h in serum-free media containing 10 nM lacritin, 10 nM C-25 lacritin, or FBS in the absence or presence of increasing amounts of soluble hS1ED. (B) Identically performed proliferation assay in which HSG cells were treated with 10 nM lacritin or FBS 48 h after being mock transfected or transfected with 10 nM of Ambion’s negative control siRNA #1 [neg], 1–100 nM SDC1 siRNA, or 10 nM SDC2 siRNA. Error bars indicate SEM. (C, top) RTPCR and Western blotting of mock versus 10 nM SDC1 siRNA-treated cells. RTPCR is for SDC1 and -2 mRNAs. Blotting is with mAb B-B4 for SDC1 core protein or with anti-GAPDH. (bottom) RTPCR for SDC2 mRNA in mock-transfected cells or cells transfected with 10 nM SDC2 siRNA. (D) RTPCR of COX2 expression by HSG cells without (+) or with (-) 10 nM lacritin stimulation. 48 h earlier, the cells were mock transfected or transfected with 10 nM SDC1, 10 nM SDC2, or 1 nM heparanase-1 [HPSE-1] siRNAs. At bottom is GAPDH expression.

Ligation of SDC1 thus appears to be a required upstream step in lacritin mitogenic signaling.

**Lacritin and FGF2 target different forms of SDC1**

We noted how biotinylated SDC1 from surface-labeled HSG cells was selectively purified on lacritin affinity columns and
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(Fig. 7 A, SDC2 [lane 3, 0.5 M NaCl eluate; lane 4, 1.0 M NaCl eluate] and SDC4 [lane 5, 0.5 M NaCl eluate; lane 6, 1.0 M NaCl eluate]). Affinity precipitates were heparitinase/chondroitinase (+) treated before SDS-PAGE and blotted for SDC1, -2, or -4. We observe that FGFR2-purified SDC1, but not FGFR2-purified SDC2 or -4, can indeed bind lacritin after heparitinase treatment (Fig. 7 A, lanes 1 and 2), presumably by exposing a hidden site. Where does lacritin bind? Steric hindrance by the N-terminal HS chains suggests that lacritin may bind SDC1's N terminus. However, binding might occur elsewhere when consideration is given to HS chain length and core protein folding. To examine these possibilities, we generated cell lines stably or transiently expressing human SDC1 lacking 51 N-terminal amino acids (del 1–51), lacking amino acids 51–252 of the ectodomain (del 51–252), or retaining only the N-terminal

Figure 6. Lacritin and FGFR2 bind different forms of cell surface SDC1. (A) Sequential affinity precipitation assays. Lanes 1–3 show lysate from human SDC1 stably expressing HEK293T cells sequentially incubated with three rounds of fresh FGFR2-GST beads. Half of the final depleted lysate was then incubated with lacritin-intein beads (lane 4), and the other half was methanol precipitated (lane 9). Similarly, in lanes 5–7, a different aliquot of lysate from the same cells was sequentially incubated with three rounds of fresh lacritin-intein beads. Half of the final depleted lysate was then incubated with FGFR2-GST beads (lane 8), and the other half was methanol precipitated (lane 10). Beads were washed and treated with heparitinase I/chondroitinase ABC. The digests were centrifuged, and pellets (P) and supernatants (S) were blotted with mAb 3G10 for desaturated uronates in SDC1.

Figure 7. Bacterial heparitinase digestion exposes FGFR2-bndable SDC1 to lacritin binding via a domain in SDC1's N-terminal 50 amino acids. (A) Human SDC1 (lanes 1 and 2), SDC2 (lanes 3 and 4), and SDC4 (lanes 5 and 6) from stably expressing HEK293T cells were individually purified on FGFR2-GST, eluted (0.5 and 1 M NaCl), treated with heparitinase I/chondroitinase ABC for 2 h, and incubated with lacritin-intein beads. Blotting is with mAb B-B4 for SDC1, polyclonal antibody L-18 for SDC2, or polyclonal N-19 for SDC4—all core protein specific. (B) Schematic diagram of human SDC1. The dotted line indicates truncation sites in the ectodomain forming the deletion constructs del 1–51, 51–252, and 51–310. Boxes represent PSIPRED-predicted α helices. Wavy lines represent HS and CS, TM, transmembrane domain. (C) Comparative incubation of FGFR2-GST and lacritin-intein beads with human SDC1 or human SDC1 del 1–51 lysates from stably expressing HEK293T cells. After incubation, beads were washed extensively and either treated with heparitinase I/chondroitinase ABC (+) or left untreated (−). Beads were centrifuged, and pellets (P) and supernatants (S) were blotted with mAb B-B4 for SDC1 core protein. Lysate from HEK293T cells stably expressing SDC1 del 1–51 is blotted in lanes 6 and 7. (D) Comparative incubation of lacritin-intein beads with human SDC1 del 51–252, 1–51, or 51–310 lysates from stably or transiently expressing HEK293T cells. pcDNA is lysate from cells transfected with vector only.
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50 amino acids as a secreted form (del 51–310; Fig. 7 B). Del 51–252 and 51–310 both bound lacritin, but not del 1–51 (Fig. 7, C and D), suggesting that SDC1’s N terminus is recognized by lacritin.

Although most heparanase is associated with endocytic compartments, the argument for an active cell surface role is compelling. Evidence includes heparanase secretion by activated endothelial (Chen et al., 2004) and T cells during inflammation (Fridman et al., 1987), antisense-inhibited cancer dissemination (Uno et al., 2001), and overexpression-associated migration of hair stem cell progeny (Zeharia et al., 2005). Is heparanase required for lacritin mitogenic binding of SDC1? Blotting for heparanase-1 detected the active 50-kD form that was enriched on a HiTrap heparin column from both HSG and HEK293/SDC1 lysates (Fig. 8 A), in keeping with the known affinity of heparanase for heparin. The presence of heparanase in these fractions was confirmed in preliminary activity assays showing digestion of 35SO4-labeled matrix (unpublished data).

To assess whether heparanase-1 or -2 is required for lacritin-dependent proliferation, we treated HSG cells with siRNAs for each (Fig. 8, B and C). Heparanase-1 is abundantly expressed and, when knocked down, reduced lacritin-dependent proliferation to background in a dose-dependent manner. Importantly, the lowest effective doses did not affect EGF-dependent mitogenesis, and depleted cells were rescued by addition of exogenous heparanase or heparitinase (Fig. 8 C). In depleted cells without lacritin, neither had any effect (Fig. S2, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200511134/DC1), thus eliminating the possibility that rescue was instead from heparanase signaling (Gingis-Velitski et al., 2004). Heparanase-2 siRNA also had no effect (Fig. 8 C), but standard RT-PCR failed to detect heparanase-2 expression in untreated cells (not depicted), in keeping with real-time PCR detection of <15 mRNA copies/ng cDNA in human salivary gland (McKenzie et al., 2000). We noted that lacritin mitogenic signaling promotes COX2 expression downstream of NFATC1 (Wang et al., 2006) and that siRNA depletion of SDC1, but not SDC2, abrogates lacritin-dependent COX2 expression (Fig. 5 D). If heparanase-1 is functionally linked with SDC1 in lacritin mitogenic signaling, then depletion of heparanase-1 should have a similar effect. We observe in Fig. 5 D that this is indeed the case. Lacritin has no effect on COX2 expression in cells lacking heparanase-1.

Thus, it is apparent that two pools of SDC1 are available and that the lacritin-bindable pool is likely generated by heparanase. If this is true, the distribution of HS chain sizes in the FGF2-bindable versus lacritin-bindable pools should differ. To explore this possibility, each pool was isolated by affinity precipitation from 35SO4-labeled cell lysates. After chondroitinase digestion and elution with NaCl, HS was cleaved from the core protein with NaBH4 and analyzed by CL-6B gel fractionation chromatography (Fig. 8 D). In contrast to unimodal HS from the FGF2 pool (Kav = 0.3 – 0.33; ~40 kD), HS from the lacritin pool was bimodal, with most 35SO4 eluting with a Kav of 0.75–0.8. This corresponds to ~4–5 kD. Both estimates are based on Watson’s standard curve (Wasteson, 1971). Interestingly, lower molecular mass HS was eliminated by heparanase-1 depletion (Fig. 8 D). Collectively, these data suggest

Figure 8. Heparanase is expressed by HEK293T and HSG cells and is required for lacritin-dependent mitogenesis. (A) Lysates of HSG cells (lane 1) and HEK293T cells stably expressing human SDC1 (lanes 2) versus 2 M NaCl eluant of each after incubation with HiTrap heparin affinity columns (lanes 3 and 4, respectively). Blotting is with polyclonal anti–human HPSE1 antibody. (B) Lysates from HSG cells that had been mock transfected or transfected with 1 nM heparanase-1 siRNA. Blotting is with polyclonal anti–human HPSE1 or anti-tubulin antibodies. (C) Proliferation assay in which HSG cells were treated with 10 nM lacritin or 1 nM EGF 48 h after being mock transfected or transfected with 10 nM of Ambion’s negative control siRNA #1 (neg), 1–100 nM HPSE1 siRNA, or 1 nM HPSE2 siRNA. Some HPSE1 siRNA cells were lacritin treated for 24 h in the presence of 1 μg of heparanase-enriched eluant (A) from HEK293T cells stably expressing SDC1 (1 nM + HPSE) or 0.0001 U of bacterial heparitinase. Error bars indicate SEM. (D) Sepharose CL-6B gel filtration chromatography of HS from lacritin and FGF2 affinity enriched SDC1 isolated from normal or HPSE1-depleted HSG cells. Lysates from cells labeled with 50 μCi/ml Na35SO4 in DME for 48 h were affinity precipitated with FGF2-GST or lacritin-intein. Equal micromgram amounts of SDC1 bound to beads were digested with chondroitin ABC lyase to remove CS, eluted with 2 M NaCl, and subjected to NaBH4, eliminative cleavage. Released HS was neutralized by drop-wise addition of 1 M HCl and subjected to Sepharose CL-6B gel filtration chromatography to compare the relative size of HS chains. V0, void volume (dextran blue); Vt, total volume (sodium dichromate).
a mechanism whereby SDC1’s HS-rich N terminus is partially deglycanated by heparanase-1 to facilitate lacritin binding (Fig. 9) and signaling to mitogenic COX2.

Discussion

How cell surface proteoglycans specify regions of epithelial morphogenesis, homeostasis, or secretion is a central question in developmental biology. We report a new mechanism in which the N-terminal deglycanated core protein of SDC1, and not complete HS/CS chains nor SDC2 or -4, appears to target the epithelial selective prosecretory mitogen lacritin. An important and novel step in this approach is that binding necessitates prior complete or partial removal of HS chains by endogenous heparanase (Fig. 9). Limiting lacritin activity to specific sites of secreted heparanase thus transforms widely expressed SDC1 into a regulated surface-binding protein.

Recent studies emphasize a growing appreciation for an interaction role of syndecan core proteins beyond the binding accomplished by their HS chains. Sdc1 regulates the activation of the αvβ3 and αvβ5 integrins in several cell types, an interaction that depends on functional coupling between an extracellular active site in the syndecan core protein and the integrins (Beauvais and Rapraeger, 2003; Beauvais et al., 2004; McQuade et al., 2006). HS plus a short extracellular hydrophobic region near the transmembrane domain of mouse Sdc1 inhibits ARH-77 human B lymphoid cell invasion into collagen I (Langford et al., 2005). Recombinant human SDC2 core protein from E. coli mediates adhesion and proliferation of colon carcinoma cells (Park et al., 2002), and mouse Sdc4 contains a high-affinity cell-binding domain proximal to HS attachment sites (McFall and Rapraeger, 1997, 1998). Thus, the ectodomains of syndecan core proteins mediate several morphogenetic and homeostatic events.

Lacritin’s preference for heparanase-deglycanated SDC1 core protein is an interesting cell-targeting strategy that successfully appropriates a ubiquitous proteoglycan for a role as a restrictive cell surface–binding protein. That this is feasible is a reflection of the rarity of SDC1 as a part-time or hypoglycosylated proteoglycan and the lack of general ectodomain sequence conservation among syndecans. Focal heparanase release may regulate lacritin’s mitogenic and prosecretory activity with unusual accuracy. Focal heparanase degradation of cell surface and ECM HS is implicated in glandular morphogenesis (Zcharia et al., 2004), stem cell migration (Zcharia et al., 2005), and cell survival (Cohen et al., 2006). It also plays a central role in inflammation and cancer (Reiland et al., 2004). Activated endothelial (Chen et al., 2004) and T cells secrete heparanase during inflammation (Fridman et al., 1987). Up-regulation of heparanase mRNA is correlated with reduced HS in invasive esophageal carcinomas (Mikami et al., 2001), whereas the opposite is linked to an increase in overall HS in differentiating myoblasts (Barbosa et al., 2005). Our studies did not address whether SDC2 and -4 are functional targets of heparanase. Neither bound lacritin with or without prior heparitinase treatment. Nonetheless, exploration of other ligands may reveal a similar capacity for latency in these and other HS proteoglycans.

Heparanase-regulated proliferation has previously been attributed to the release of HS-bound FGFs in metastatic breast cancer (Kato et al., 1998). Notably, the first lacritin EST in GenBank derives from a subtracted breast cancer library, and evidence has been presented for lacritin gene amplification in some metastatic breast cancers (Porter et al., 2003). Others have proposed that lacritin is the second most frequent SAGE (serial analysis of gene expression) marker for circulating breast cancer cells (Bosma et al., 2002). Sdc1 is required for Wnt-dependent breast cancer in mice (Alexander et al., 2000) and, in human cancers, is up-regulated in some but not others, coincident with a role in early proliferative events (Ding et al., 2005). Thus, lacritin, heparanase, and SDC1 together potentially offer a new paradigm for some human breast cancers.

Although the sequencing data did not expose lacritin’s putative signaling receptor, use of pharmacological inhibitors and siRNA have identified proximal signaling elements as Goi or Goα/PKC-PLC/Ca2+/calcineurin/NFATC1/COX2 and Goi or Goα/PKC-PLC/PLD1/mTOR (Wang et al., 2006). Both are ERK1 and -2 independent and thus contrast with SDC1 cytoskeletal signaling. Lacritin signaling may thus involve a G protein–coupled receptor or G protein–dependent ion channel that gains ligand affinity as a consequence of lacritin immobilization on SDC1. Core protein binding may be stabilized by interaction with HS stubs detected in the lower molecular weight heparanase-dependent peak (Fig. 8 D). Interestingly, because lacritin- and FGF2-bindable SDC1 pools share some HS chains of similar size, not all HS on lacritin-bound SDC1 seem to be cleaved. Lack of complete competition of soluble lacritin for SDC1 in lacritin affinity precipitation assays versus N-24 might hypothetically result from folding of lacritin’s more negatively charged N terminus onto its positively charged C terminus. Cleavage of HS by heparanase to generate lacritin-dependent mitogenic activity offers a novel mechanism of epithelial renewal with important implications to the physiology of human exocrine glands.

Collectively, these observations contribute to the growing appreciation of mechanisms by which extracellular enzymes regulate proteoglycan activity in unexpected ways. Recently described Sulf1 and -2 modify the character of HS chains by selectively removing certain 6-O-sulfate groups, thus altering growth factor signaling and tumor growth (Dai et al., 2005). Heparanase cleavage of HS promotes angiogenesis by solubilizing HS-bound Figure 9. Proposed model of epithelial cell targeting by lacritin. Deglycanated core protein of SDC1 targets the epithelial selective prosecretory mitogen lacritin. (i) Binding requires prior partial or complete removal of HS chains by endogenous HPSE1. (ii) Binding is mutually specified by lacritin’s C-terminal mitogenic domain and SDC1’s N terminus.
This new discovery that heparanase removal of HS chains removes a block to mitogenic signaling offers a new regulatory paradigm.

Materials and methods

Cell culture, plasmid constructs, and transfection

The HSG cell line was provided by M. Hoffman (National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research, Bethesda MD). HSG cells were cultured in DMEM/F12 with 10% FBS. Cells were assayed between passage 10 and 20. Some HSG cells were transected with a SMARTpool of four human SDC1 (Ambion) or heparanase-1- or heparanase-2-specific siRNAs at different doses (Dharmacon). Other cells were transfected with individual siRNAs also at different doses. siRNAs sequences are as follows: SDC1 siRNAs, CGCAAAUUAACGUAUAGUUGT, GGAAGAALUUCUGCCUGA, GGACUUCUCCUUGAAACCTT, and GGUAAGUUAAGCUAAUGATTT (available from GenBank/EMBL/DBJ under accession no. NM_002997); SDC2 siRNAs, GGAAGUUUUGGCGUAACATT, GGAUGUGAGAC-UCCAGAATG, and GGAGUAGUCUCAUCAUGUTTT (available from GenBank/EMBL/DBJ under accession no. NM_002998); heparanase-1 siRNAs, UGACUGAUACUGACGUAUUGU, GAUCUAACCCGACCUUU, GGCGUUAUGCGAACCAG-UCUAUUGU, GGAGUGCCAGUACUUAUUGU, and GAACAGCCACUCAAGUU (available from GenBank/EMBL/DBJ under accession no. NM_006665). Heparanase-2 siRNA sequences from Dharmacon were not made publicly available. Also used was Ambion’s negative control siRNA #1. Silencing efficiency was evaluated by protein blotting and RTPCR.

Affinity precipitation binding

Human SDC1, 2, or 4 stably expressing HEK293T cells were harvested on ice into 1 ml of the same lysis buffer used for affinity chromatography. Lysates were cleared by centrifugation (20,000 g at 4°C), and protein concentration of supernatant was estimated by the BCA assay (Pierce Chemical Co.). 5 μg lacritin-intein or lacritin-GST and FGF2-GST fusion proteins were bound to Chitin beads (New England Biolabs, Inc.) or glutathione-Sepharose beads, respectively. Beads were incubated with lysates (~200 μg of SDC1 stably expressing HEK293T cells) overnight at 4°C, and washed three times with affinity chromatography binding buffer (each wash three times the bead volume). In competition assays, SDC1 lysates were mixed with increasing amounts of soluble lacritin, HS, and glycosaminoglycans.

Lacritin affinity chromatography

Cell surface biotinylation and affinity chromatography followed the method of Chen et al. (1997). In brief, 60 μm culture dishes of 80% confluent HSG cells were washed twice on ice with ice-cold PBS and incubated for 30 min with EZ-Link Sulfo-NHS-SS-CI Biotin (Pierce Chemical Co.). Cells were then washed twice with PBS-glycine, gently loosened with a cell scraper, and pelleted at 4°C. The pellet was twice resuspended in 25 μl PBS-glycine and incubated for 30 min in 1 ml lysis buffer (50 mM Tris HCl, pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 2 mM PMSF, 0.2% N-omega-N-glucopronoside, and protease inhibitors [Roche Diagnostics]).

Lysate was centrifuged for 15 min at 4°C, and the supernatant was applied to 1 ml precolum, washed through with 1 ml binding buffer (50 mM Tris HCl, pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 2 mM PMSF, 50 mM N-omega-N-glucopronoside, and protease inhibitors [Roche Diagnostics]).

Mitogen assay

HSG cells in serum-containing media were seeded in 24-well plates at a density of 0.5 × 10^5 cells/well. After 24 h, the medium was changed to minimum essential medium alpha modification with washes for 24 h, and...
lacritin was added for 24 h to a final concentration of 10 nM in the same medium containing 2 μCi/ml [3H]-thymidine. Cells were incubated alone with lacritin or together with an increasing amount of bacterial-expressed lacritin was added for 24 h after siRNA transfection. To rescue heparanase-depleted cells, 1 μg heparanase enriched from HSG or HEK293 cells using heparin affinity column and 0.0001 U bacterial heparitinase (Seikagaku America) was added together with lacritin and [3H]-thymidine for 24 h. [3H]-thymidine incorporation was stopped by placing on ice. Cultures were washed twice with ice-cold PBS, fixed with cold and RT TCA (10%) for 10 min each, washed twice with RT PBS, collected in 1 N NaOH, neutralized with 1 N HCl, and transferred to liquid scintillation vials for measurement.

HS chain analysis

50% confluent HSG cell cultures in 150-mm culture dishes were metabolically labeled with 30 μCi/ml Na235SO4 (1494 Ci/mmol, PerkinElmer) in DME for 48 h as described by Zako et al. [2003]. Both normal and heparanase1-depleted cells were labeled. After washing three times with PBS, cell lysates were collected and affinity precipitated with FGFF2-GST or lacritin-titin overnight at 4°C. SDC1 bound to beads was digested with chondroitin ABC lyase (MP Biochemicals) for 3 h at 37°C, eluted with 2 M NaCl, and subjected to elimination cleavage and reduction of HS by adding to 100 mM NaOH/1 M NaBH4 for 24 h at 37°C. Released HS was neutralized by drop-wise addition of 1 M HCl and subjected to Sepharose CL-6B column (1 × 57 cm) gel filtration chromatography in PBS at a flow rate 16 ml/h. Radioactivity was measured by liquid scintillation counting. The void volume (V0, fraction 26) and total column volume (V, fraction 62) were determined using dextroton blue and sodium dichromate, respectively, as markers.

Online supplemental material

Fig. S1 displays the size homogeneity of native SDC1 attributable to its HS and CS chains. Fig. S2 demonstrates that heparanase and heparitinase alone are not mitogenic for HSG cells. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200511134/DC1.
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