

An Intertwining Operator for the Group B_2

Charles F. Dunkl

ABSTRACT. There is a commutative algebra of differential-difference operators, acting on polynomials on \mathbb{R}^2 , associated with the reflection group B_2 . This paper presents an integral transform which intertwines this algebra, allowing one free parameter, with the algebra of partial derivatives. The method of proof depends on properties of a certain class of balanced terminating hypergeometric series of ${}_4F_3$ -type. These properties are in the form of recurrence and contiguity relations and are proved herein.

1. Introduction

1.1. Overview. We construct an integral for the intertwining operator V associated to the reflection group of type B_2 (order 8) acting on \mathbb{R}^2 , with *one* parameter κ . For polynomials or adequately smooth functions in $x = (x_1, x_2)$ define the differential-difference operators:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} T_1 f(x) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} f(x) + \kappa_1 \frac{f(x) - f(-x_1, x_2)}{x_1} \\ &\quad + \kappa_2 \frac{f(x) - f(x_2, x_1)}{x_1 - x_2} + \kappa_2 \frac{f(x) - f(-x_2, -x_1)}{x_1 + x_2}, \\ T_2 f(x) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} f(x) + \kappa_1 \frac{f(x) - f(x_1, -x_2)}{x_2} \\ &\quad + \kappa_2 \frac{f(x) - f(x_2, x_1)}{x_2 - x_1} + \kappa_2 \frac{f(x) - f(-x_2, -x_1)}{x_1 + x_2}. \end{aligned}$$

These operators are special cases of those defined by the author in [2]. Their key property is commutativity, $T_1 T_2 = T_2 T_1$. We deal only with the restricted case $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2 = \kappa$. The intertwining operator V preserves the degree of homogeneous polynomials and satisfies $V\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right) f(x) = T_i V f(x)$ for $i = 1, 2$, and $V1 = 1$. In [5] it was shown that V exists and is one-to-one as a map on polynomials for any κ except for the set $\{-\frac{m}{4} : m \in \mathbb{N}, \frac{m}{4} \notin \mathbb{Z}\}$ of singular values. The definition and existence of V for $\kappa > 0$ was shown in [3]. Later Rösler [8] (see also [9]) proved that the functional $f \mapsto V f(x)$ is given by integration with respect to a positive measure, for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$. An explicit integral was found for the group S_3 (symmetric group on 3 objects) in [4]. Xu [10] found an intertwining transform for B_2 under

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 33C80, 33C20; Secondary 33C70, 43A80.

Key words and phrases. intertwining operator, Dunkl operators, balanced hypergeometric functions.

restrictive conditions on degree and κ_1, κ_2 . We will use a criterion, valid for any finite reflection group, for an operator to be equal to V which was proven in that paper. There is a kernel which contains all the information about the action of V on polynomials. For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2$ let $\langle x, y \rangle := \sum_{i=1}^2 x_i y_i$, then

$$K(x, y) = V^x(\exp \langle x, y \rangle)$$

is entire in x and y (V^x acts on the variable x). Further let $K_n(x, y) = \frac{1}{n!} V^x(\langle x, y \rangle^n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. The book of Dunkl and Xu [6] is a reference for differential-difference operators, the intertwining operator and the kernel $K(x, y)$ for any finite reflection group. Asymptotic formulae for the kernel are discussed in [9]. The starting point for the S_3 result was an integral formula of Harish-Chandra involving integration over a compact Lie group. This gives a special case ($\kappa = 1$ and symmetrized) of the intertwining operator for the associated Weyl group.

1.2. The Symplectic Group Integral. We use an approach similar to that in [4, sect.2]. Consider B_2 as the Weyl group of the (compact) symplectic group $Sp(2)$. This group can be described as the group of 2×2 unitary block matrices

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -\bar{B} & \bar{A} \end{pmatrix}, UU^* = I,$$

where A, B are 2×2 complex matrices. The subgroup

$$T = \{ \text{diag}(e^{i\theta_1}, e^{i\theta_2}, e^{-i\theta_1}, e^{-i\theta_2}) : \theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R} \}$$

is a maximal torus (“diag” denotes the 4×4 diagonal matrix with the specified entries). Identify \mathbb{R}^2 with the complexification of the Lie algebra of T by the map $\delta : x \mapsto 2^{-1/2} \text{diag}(x_1, x_2, -x_1, -x_2)$ (the purpose of the factor $2^{-1/2}$ is to get $\text{Tr}(\delta(x)\delta(y)) = \langle x, y \rangle$). The formula of Harish-Chandra (Helgason [7, p.328]) specializes to

$$\int_{Sp(2)} \exp(\text{Tr}(U\delta(x)U^*\delta(y))) dm(U) = \frac{3 \sum_{w \in B_2} \det(w) \exp(\langle xw, y \rangle)}{2 p(x)p(y)},$$

where $dm(U)$ is normalized Haar measure on $Sp(2)$ and $p(x) = x_1 x_2 (x_1^2 - x_2^2)$. The right side of the formula is an expression for the kernel $K^0(x, y)$ at $\kappa = 1$. Thus the left side suggests a construction of an integral formula for the intertwining operator. We compute

$$\text{Tr}(U\delta(x)U^*\delta(y)) = \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 x_i y_j (|A_{ji}|^2 - |B_{ji}|^2).$$

Thus one needs to integrate functions of the four variables $(|A_{ji}|^2 - |B_{ji}|^2)$ with respect to Haar measure. One applies integration over the subgroup $Sp(1)$ and the homogeneous space $Sp(2)/Sp(1)$. Since this only gives the $\kappa = 1$ situation more experimentation is needed to make a conjecture about arbitrary κ . By direct polynomial calculation we find the symmetrized kernel $K_n^0(x, y) = \frac{1}{8} \sum_{w \in B_2} V^x \langle xw, y \rangle^n / n!$ for small n (≤ 8) and try powers of the trigonometric functions to produce $K_n^0(x, y) = \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\Omega} \langle x\tau(q), y \rangle^n d\mu(q)$. This approach, however, has as yet not produced a solution for the two-parameter situation.

1.3. Group actions and the measure. The group B_2 is generated by the reflections $\sigma_1 := \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\sigma_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. The intertwining operator has the form

$$Vf(x) = \int_{\Omega} f(x\tau(q))g(q)d\mu(q),$$

$$\tau(q) = \begin{pmatrix} q_1 & q_3 \\ q_2 & q_4 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\mu(q)$ is two-sided invariant for B_2 and $g(q)$ is invariant under the action $w : \tau(q) \rightarrow w\tau(q)w^{-1}$ for all $w \in B_2$ (actually $g(q)$ involves one more variable of integration). There are left and right representations of B_2 on q (that is, \mathbb{R}^4), defined implicitly for $w \in B_2$ by

$$\tau(q\lambda(w)) = w^{-1}\tau(q),$$

$$\tau(q\rho(w)) = \tau(q)w.$$

For example $q\lambda(\sigma_1) = (-q_1, q_2, -q_3, q_4)$ and $q\rho(\sigma_2) = (q_3, q_4, q_1, q_2)$. The invariance conditions are $d\mu(q\lambda(w)) = d\mu(q\rho(w)) = d\mu(q)$ and $g(q\lambda(w)\rho(w)) = g(q)$ for all $w \in B_2$. It suffices to check invariance for the generating reflections, that is, g and μ must be invariant under $q \mapsto q\lambda(\sigma_1)\rho(\sigma_1) = (q_1, -q_2, -q_3, q_4)$ and $q \mapsto q\lambda(\sigma_2)\rho(\sigma_2) = (q_4, q_3, q_2, q_1)$, and additionally μ must be invariant under $q \mapsto q\lambda(\sigma_1) = (-q_1, q_2, -q_3, q_4)$ and $q \mapsto q\lambda(\sigma_2) = (q_2, q_1, q_4, q_3)$.

The measure μ is actually an integral over six variables: let

$$q_1 = u \cos \psi_1$$

$$q_2 = (1 - u) \cos \psi_2$$

$$q_3 = (1 - u) (\cos \psi_2 \cos \theta + \sin \psi_2 \sin \theta \cos \phi_2)$$

$$q_4 = u (\cos \psi_1 \cos \theta + \sin \psi_1 \sin \theta \cos \phi_1);$$

the region Ω of integration is $0 \leq u \leq 1, 0 \leq \theta, \phi_i, \psi_i \leq \pi$ ($i = 1, 2$). For $\kappa > \frac{1}{2}$ the measure is

$$d\mu(q) = c_{\kappa} (u(1-u) \sin \psi_1 \sin \psi_2 \sin \theta)^{2\kappa-1} (\sin \phi_1 \sin \phi_2)^{2\kappa-2} du d\psi_1 d\psi_2 d\theta d\phi_1 d\phi_2$$

times the normalizing constant (product of gamma functions) so $\int_{\Omega} d\mu = 1$. We can now state the main result for homogeneous polynomials: let

$$D_0 = (q_1 + q_4) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial q_4} \right) - (q_2 - q_3) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q_2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial q_3} \right),$$

and suppose $f(x)$ is a homogeneous polynomial in x of degree n .

(1) If n is odd, then

$$(1.2) \quad Vf(x) = \int_{\Omega} 2(q_1 + q_4) f(x\tau(q)) d\mu(q).$$

(2) If n is even, then

$$(1.3) \quad Vf(x) = \int_{\Omega} \left\{ 1 + q_1 q_4 - q_2 q_3 + \frac{1}{4\kappa + n} D_0 \right\} f(\tau(q)x) d\mu(q).$$

Further, the Bessel function $K^0(x, y) = \frac{1}{8} \sum_{w \in B_2} K(xw, y)$ is given by the positive integral:

$$K^0(x, y) = \int_{\Omega} \exp(\langle x\tau(q), y \rangle) d\mu(q).$$

We will consider the relation to the representations of B_2 and the techniques of proving the formulae in the following sections. The required invariance properties of μ are made clear by a change-of-variables.

LEMMA 1. *In terms of q, θ, u and the auxiliary variables*

$$z_1(q_1, q_4, u, \theta) = \frac{u^2 \sin^2 \theta - q_1^2 - q_4^2 + 2q_1 q_4 \cos \theta}{\sin^2 \theta},$$

$$z_2(q_2, q_3, u, \theta) = \frac{(1-u)^2 \sin^2 \theta - q_2^2 - q_3^2 + 2q_2 q_3 \cos \theta}{\sin^2 \theta}$$

the measure is given by

$$d\mu = c_{\kappa} (z_1 z_2)^{\kappa-3/2} (\sin \theta)^{2\kappa-3} dq_1 dq_2 dq_3 dq_4 du d\theta,$$

and the region of integration Ω_1 is implicitly defined by $z_1 \geq 0, z_2 \geq 0, 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi, 0 \leq u \leq 1$.

PROOF. In terms of $q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4, u, \theta$ the Jacobian is

$$J = \frac{\partial(q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4, u, \theta)}{\partial(\phi_1, \phi_2, \psi_1, \psi_2, u, \theta)} = (u(1-u) \sin \psi_1 \sin \psi_2 \sin \theta)^2 \sin \phi_1 \sin \phi_2.$$

Then

$$d\mu = c_{\kappa} (u(1-u) \sin \psi_1 \sin \psi_2 \sin \phi_1 \sin \phi_2 \sin \theta)^{2\kappa-3} J du d\psi_1 d\psi_2 d\theta d\phi_1 d\phi_2.$$

Observe $u \sin \psi_1 \sin \theta \cos \phi_1 = q_4 - q_1 \cos \theta$ and $u^2 \sin^2 \psi_1 = u^2 - q_1^2$ thus

$$\sin^2 \phi_1 = 1 - \left(\frac{q_4 - q_1 \cos \theta}{u \sin \psi_1 \sin \theta} \right)^2,$$

$$(u \sin \psi_1 \sin \phi_1)^2 = (u^2 - q_1^2) - \frac{(q_4 - q_1 \cos \theta)^2}{\sin^2 \theta}$$

$$= z_1(q_1, q_4, u, \theta),$$

and similarly

$$((1-u) \sin \psi_2 \sin \phi_2)^2 = \left((1-u)^2 - q_2^2 \right) - \frac{(q_3 - q_2 \cos \theta)^2}{\sin^2 \theta}$$

$$= z_2(q_2, q_3, u, \theta).$$

Thus $d\mu = c_{\kappa} (z_1 z_2)^{\kappa-3/2} (\sin \theta)^{2\kappa-3} dq_1 dq_2 dq_3 dq_4 du d\theta$. \square

The measure μ is invariant under the transpositions $(q_1, q_4), (q_2, q_3)$, and the involutions $q \mapsto q\lambda(\sigma_1) = (q_2, q_1, q_4, q_3)$ and $q \mapsto q\lambda(\sigma_1) = (-q_1, -q_2, q_3, q_4)$ because of the equations

$$z_1(q_2, q_3, u, \theta) z_2(q_1, q_4, u, \theta) = z_1(q_1, q_4, 1-u, \theta) z_2(q_2, q_3, 1-u, \theta),$$

$$z_1(-q_1, q_4, u, \theta) z_2(-q_2, q_3, u, \theta) = z_1(q_1, q_4, u, \pi - \theta) z_2(q_2, q_3, u, \pi - \theta).$$

Similarly there is invariance under the right action of B_2 , that is, $q \mapsto q\rho(\sigma_i), i = 1, 2$.

To integrate the typical monomial $q^\alpha := q_1^{\alpha_1} q_2^{\alpha_2} q_3^{\alpha_3} q_4^{\alpha_4}$ where $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^4$ (q^α is of degree $|\alpha| := \sum_{i=1}^4 \alpha_i$) set

$$\begin{aligned} b_0 &= (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3) / 2, \\ b_1 &= (\alpha_1 + \alpha_4) / 2, \\ b_2 &= (\alpha_2 + \alpha_4) / 2, \\ b_3 &= (\alpha_3 + \alpha_4) / 2, \end{aligned}$$

and let $s(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) := \int_{\Omega} q^\alpha d\mu(q)$. By a parity argument the nonzero integrals occur only for integer values of the b_i .

PROPOSITION 1. *The normalizing constant is*

$$c_\kappa = 4^{\kappa-1} \frac{(2\kappa-1)^2 \Gamma(2\kappa + \frac{1}{2})}{\pi^{5/2} \Gamma(\kappa)^2},$$

and for $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^4$ if $\alpha_1 \equiv \alpha_2 \equiv \alpha_3 \equiv \alpha_4 \pmod{2}$ (all even or all odd) then

$$(1.4a) \quad s(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) = \frac{(2\kappa)_{2b_1} (2\kappa)_{2b_0} (\frac{1}{2})_{b_1} (\frac{1}{2})_{b_0} (\frac{1}{2})_{b_3}}{(4\kappa)_{2b_1+2b_0} (\kappa + \frac{1}{2})_{b_1} (\kappa + \frac{1}{2})_{b_0} (\kappa + \frac{1}{2})_{b_3}} \\ \times \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \alpha_4/2 \rfloor} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \alpha_3/2 \rfloor} \frac{(-\alpha_4)_{2i} (-\alpha_3)_{2j} (\kappa)_{i+j}}{i! j! (\frac{1}{2} - b_1)_i (\frac{1}{2} - b_0)_j (\frac{1}{2} - b_3)_{i+j}} 2^{-2i-2j},$$

otherwise $s(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) = 0$.

PROOF. Expand q^α in terms of $u, \theta, \psi_1, \psi_2, \phi_1, \phi_2$ with the binomial theorem and collect terms. The result is

$$\begin{aligned} s(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) &= c_\kappa \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha_3} \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha_4} \binom{\alpha_3}{j} \binom{\alpha_4}{i} \int_0^1 u^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_4 + 2\kappa - 1} (1-u)^{\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 2\kappa - 1} du \\ &\quad \times \int_0^\pi (\cos \psi_1)^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_4 - i} (\sin \psi_1)^{i + 2\kappa - 1} d\psi_2 \int_0^\pi (\cos \phi_1)^i (\sin \phi_1)^{2\kappa - 2} d\phi_2 \\ &\quad \times \int_0^\pi (\cos \psi_2)^{\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 - j} (\sin \psi_2)^{j + 2\kappa - 1} d\psi_1 \int_0^\pi (\cos \phi_2)^j (\sin \phi_2)^{2\kappa - 2} d\phi_1 \\ &\quad \times \int_0^\pi (\cos \theta)^{\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 - i - j} (\sin \theta)^{i + j + 2\kappa - 1} d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Recall $\int_0^\pi \cos^n \theta \sin^\lambda \theta d\theta$ equals zero if n is odd and equals $B(\frac{n+1}{2}, \frac{\lambda+1}{2})$ if n is even, for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $\lambda > -1$. For the respective integrals to be nonzero i and j must be even because of the ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 integrals and hence $\alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_1 + \alpha_4, \alpha_3 + \alpha_4$ must be even (in the ψ_1, ψ_2, θ integrals). So replace i, j by $2i, 2j$ respectively and

assume that the entries of α are all even or all odd. Then

$$\begin{aligned} s(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) &= c_\kappa \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \alpha_3/2 \rfloor} \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \alpha_4/2 \rfloor} \binom{\alpha_3}{2j} \binom{\alpha_4}{2i} B(\alpha_1 + \alpha_4 + 2\kappa, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 2\kappa) \\ &\quad \times B\left(\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_4 + 1}{2} - i, i + \kappa\right) B\left(i + \frac{1}{2}, \kappa - \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ &\quad \times B\left(\frac{\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 1}{2} - j, j + \kappa\right) B\left(j + \frac{1}{2}, \kappa - \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ &\quad \times B\left(\frac{\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + 1}{2} - i - j, i + j + \kappa\right). \end{aligned}$$

We set $\alpha = 0$ to find the normalizing constant, indeed

$$c_\kappa^{-1} = B(2\kappa, 2\kappa) B\left(\frac{1}{2}, \kappa\right)^3 B\left(\frac{1}{2}, \kappa - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2.$$

The stated value follows from the duplication formula. The following ratios are typical in the calculation:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{B\left(i + \frac{1}{2}, \kappa - \frac{1}{2}\right)}{B\left(\frac{1}{2}, \kappa - \frac{1}{2}\right)} &= \frac{\Gamma\left(i + \frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\kappa - \frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma(\kappa)}{\Gamma(\kappa + i) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\kappa - \frac{1}{2}\right)} = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_i}{(\kappa)_i}, \\ \frac{B\left(b_0 + \frac{1}{2} - i, i + \kappa\right)}{B\left(\frac{1}{2}, \kappa\right)} &= \frac{\Gamma\left(b_0 + \frac{1}{2} - i\right) \Gamma(i + \kappa) \Gamma\left(\kappa + \frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(b_0 + \frac{1}{2} + \kappa\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma(\kappa)} = \frac{(\kappa)_i \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{b_0 - i}}{\left(\kappa + \frac{1}{2}\right)_{b_0}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} s(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \alpha_3/2 \rfloor} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \alpha_4/2 \rfloor} \binom{\alpha_3}{2i} \binom{\alpha_4}{2j} \frac{(2\kappa)_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_4} (2\kappa)_{\alpha_2 + \alpha_3}}{(4\kappa)_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4}} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_i \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{b_0 - i} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_j \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{b_1 - j} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{b_3 - i - j} (\kappa)_{i+j}}{\left(\kappa + \frac{1}{2}\right)_{b_0} \left(\kappa + \frac{1}{2}\right)_{b_1} \left(\kappa + \frac{1}{2}\right)_{b_3}}. \end{aligned}$$

To finish the proof, write $\binom{\alpha_4}{2i} = (-\alpha_4)_{2i} / (2^{2i} i! \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_i)$, $\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{b_0 - i} = (-1)^i \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{b_0} / \left(\frac{1}{2} - b_0\right)_i$ and similarly for the other terms. \square

It is clear that the symmetry $s(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) = s(\alpha_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_4, \alpha_3)$ holds (as well as $s(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) = s(\alpha_1, \alpha_3, \alpha_2, \alpha_4)$), as implied by Lemma 1. Some other symmetries will be shown later. Singular values are numerical values of κ for which the intertwining operator does not exist. By the general theory of singular values [5] specialized to B_2 they consist of $-\frac{1}{2} - \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \left(-\frac{1}{4} - \mathbb{N}_0 \cup -\frac{3}{4} - \mathbb{N}_0\right)$. The denominators $\left(\kappa + \frac{1}{2}\right)_{b_i}$ in $s(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)$ correspond to the first subset. For the second subset consider

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(2\kappa)_{2b_1} (2\kappa)_{2b_0}}{(4\kappa)_{2b_1 + 2b_0}} &= 2^{-2b_1 - 2b_0} \frac{(2\kappa)_{2b_1} (2\kappa)_{2b_0}}{(2\kappa)_{b_1 + b_0} \left(2\kappa + \frac{1}{2}\right)_{b_1 + b_0}} \\ &= 2^{-2b_1 - 2b_0} \frac{(2\kappa + b_1 + b_0)_{b_1 - b_0} (2\kappa)_{2b_0}}{\left(2\kappa + \frac{1}{2}\right)_{b_1 + b_0}} \end{aligned}$$

if $b_1 \geq b_0$ or a similar expression if $b_0 \geq b_1$.

To see some of the complexity of this integral: consider the reduced form

$$\int_{\Omega} f(q_1, q_2) d\mu(q) = c \int_0^1 \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi f(u \cos \psi_1, (1-u) \cos \psi_2) (u(1-u) \sin \psi_1 \sin \psi_2)^{2\kappa-1} du d\psi_1 d\psi_2,$$

with a constant c depending on κ . This is not reducible to an ordinary double integral without the use of a nonelementary integral. Indeed,

$$\int_{\Omega} f(q_1, q_2) d\mu(q) = \int \int_{|q_1|+|q_2| \leq 1} f(q_1, q_2) E_{\kappa}(q_1, q_2) dq_1 dq_2, \\ E_{\kappa}(q_1, q_2) = c \int_{|q_1|}^{1-|q_2|} \left\{ (u^2 - q_1^2) \left((1-u)^2 - q_2^2 \right) \right\}^{\kappa-1} du.$$

1.4. Single sum formula and hypergeometric functions. Even though our evaluation of $s(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)$ required a six-variable integral the value can be expressed as a single sum in terms of a terminating balanced ${}_4F_3$ hypergeometric series.

Write

$$s(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) = \frac{(2\kappa)_{2b_1} (2\kappa)_{2b_0}}{(4\kappa)_{2b_1+2b_0}} s'(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4),$$

then s' satisfies the recurrence

$$(1.5) \quad \alpha_1 \alpha_4 \left(\kappa + \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 1) \right) s'(\alpha_1 - 1, \alpha_2 + 1, \alpha_3 + 1, \alpha_4 - 1) \\ + \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_2 \alpha_3 (\alpha_1 + \alpha_4 + 1) - \alpha_1 \alpha_4 (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 1)) s'(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) \\ = \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \left(\kappa + \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_1 + \alpha_4 + 1) \right) s'(\alpha_1 + 1, \alpha_2 - 1, \alpha_3 - 1, \alpha_4 + 1).$$

There is a good reason why this formula appears here. The intertwining operator will be described as a linear functional on the space of polynomials in q applied to polynomials in $x\tau(q) = (x_1 q_1 + x_2 q_2, x_1 q_3 + x_2 q_4)$. The coefficient of $x_1^{a+b-c} x_2^c$ in the expansion of $(x_1 q_1 + x_2 q_2)^a (x_1 q_3 + x_2 q_4)^b$ is the following:

$$(1.6) \quad P_{a,b}^c(q) = \sum_{i=\max(0, c-a)}^{\min(b, c)} \binom{a}{c-i} \binom{b}{i} q_1^{a-c+i} q_2^{c-i} q_3^{b-i} q_4^i.$$

The need to integrate these polynomials motivated the examination of and experimentation with $s(a-c+i, c-i, b-i, i)$ as a function of i . This led to the discovery of the recurrence which in turn suggested that there might be a single-sum form of s . It turns out that the proof of the recurrence actually uses the single sum, a terminating hypergeometric series of ${}_4F_3$ -type (the argument looks circular, but the proof of the single sum does not use the recurrence).

PROPOSITION 2. *Suppose $\alpha_4 = 0$ and $\alpha_i = 2\beta_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$, then*

$$s'(2\beta_1, 2\beta_2, 2\beta_3, 0) = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{\beta_1} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{\beta_2} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{\beta_3}}{\left(\kappa + \frac{1}{2}\right)_{\beta_1} \left(\kappa + \frac{1}{2}\right)_{\beta_2} \left(\kappa + \frac{1}{2}\right)_{\beta_3}} \\ = s'(0, 2\beta_2, 2\beta_3, 2\beta_1),$$

and the value is symmetric in $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$.

PROOF. Indeed the sum in $s'(2\beta_1, 2\beta_2, 2\beta_3, 0)$ equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=0}^{\beta_3} \frac{(-\beta_3)_j \left(\frac{1}{2} - \beta_3\right)_j (\kappa)_j}{j! \left(\frac{1}{2} - \beta_2 - \beta_3\right)_j \left(\frac{1}{2} - \beta_3\right)_j} \\ &= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2} - \beta_2 - \beta_3 - \kappa\right)_{\beta_3}}{\left(\frac{1}{2} - \beta_2 - \beta_3\right)_{\beta_3}} = \frac{\left(\kappa + \beta_2 + \frac{1}{2}\right)_{\beta_3}}{\left(\frac{1}{2} + \beta_2\right)_{\beta_3}} \\ &= \frac{\left(\kappa + \frac{1}{2}\right)_{\beta_2 + \beta_3} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{\beta_2}}{\left(\kappa + \frac{1}{2}\right)_{\beta_2} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{\beta_2 + \beta_3}}, \end{aligned}$$

(by the Chu-Vandermonde sum) and this proves the first equation. The second equation follows from the symmetry of Lemma 1. The sum in $s'(0, 2\beta_2, 2\beta_3, 2\beta_1)$ can also be found directly, first summing over $0 \leq i \leq \beta_1$ and using similar arguments as in the first equation. \square

THEOREM 1. *Suppose $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^4$ and $\alpha_1 \equiv \alpha_2 \equiv \alpha_3 \equiv \alpha_4 \pmod{2}$. Let $b_i = (\alpha_i + \alpha_4)/2, 1 \leq i \leq 3$, then*

$$(1.7) \quad s'(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{b_1} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{b_2} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{b_3}}{\left(\kappa + \frac{1}{2}\right)_{b_1} \left(\kappa + \frac{1}{2}\right)_{b_2} \left(\kappa + \frac{1}{2}\right)_{b_3}} \times \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \alpha_4/2 \rfloor} \frac{\left(-\frac{\alpha_4}{2}\right)_i \left(\frac{1-\alpha_4}{2}\right)_i (\kappa)_i (-\kappa - b_1 - b_0)_i}{i! \left(\frac{1}{2} - b_1\right)_i \left(\frac{1}{2} - b_2\right)_i \left(\frac{1}{2} - b_3\right)_i}.$$

This is a terminating balanced ${}_4F_3$ series.

The proof of this key result is in Section 3. To say that the series is balanced means that the sum of the denominator parameters equals one plus the sum of the numerator parameters; the property is also called Saalschützian. The particular choice of parameters for this ${}_4F_3$ series will appear often in the sequel and so we make the following:

DEFINITION 1. *For $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and free parameters u, v_1, v_2, v_3 let*

$$F(n; u, v_1, v_2, v_3) = {}_4F_3 \left(\begin{matrix} -\frac{n}{2}, \frac{1-n}{2}, u, -u - v_1 - v_2 - v_3 \\ \frac{1}{2} - v_1 - n, \frac{1}{2} - v_2, \frac{1}{2} - v_3 \end{matrix}; 1 \right).$$

This is a balanced terminating hypergeometric function.

This is not the generic balanced ${}_4F_3$ -series (which has 6 free parameters) because of the parameters $(-\frac{n}{2}, \frac{1-n}{2})$. With this notation the Theorem can be restated as:

THEOREM 2. *For $\alpha_1 \equiv \alpha_2 \equiv \alpha_3 \equiv \alpha_4 \pmod{2}$ the following single-sum expression is valid:*

$$\begin{aligned} s(\alpha) &= \frac{(2\kappa)_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_4} (2\kappa)_{\alpha_2 + \alpha_3}}{(4\kappa)_{|\alpha|}} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{b_1} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{b_2} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{b_3}}{\left(\kappa + \frac{1}{2}\right)_{b_1} \left(\kappa + \frac{1}{2}\right)_{b_2} \left(\kappa + \frac{1}{2}\right)_{b_3}} \\ &\times F \left(\alpha_4; \kappa, \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_4), \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_2 + \alpha_4), \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4) \right). \end{aligned}$$

1.5. Contents. In Section 2 we describe the representation-theoretic implications of the invariance conditions, present an overview of the method of proving that a given linear functional on polynomials in q produces the intertwining operator and then give the actual proof. Some ingredients of the proofs depend on contiguity relations for the function F . Also there is a purely integral form of V not involving the degree of polynomials.

Section 3 contains the proof of the single-sum result, which relies on classical transformations of hypergeometric series, and the (computer-assisted) proofs of the required contiguity relations of F . There are closing comments in Section 4.

2. The Intertwining Operator

2.1. Invariants and representations of B_2 . The irreducible representations of B_2 are realized in the space of polynomials $\mathbb{R}[x_1, x_2]$ as follows: there is one of degree 2, which is realized in each space of polynomials homogeneous of degree $2n - 1$ as n isomorphic copies

$$\left\{ c_0 x_1^{2n-1-j} x_2^j + c_1 x_1^j x_2^{2n-1-j} : 0 \leq j \leq n-1 \right\},$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$; there are four one-dimensional representations:

- (1) invariants: $x_1^{2n-2j} x_2^{2j} + x_1^{2j} x_2^{2n-2j}$, $0 \leq j \leq n$;
- (2) determinant: $x_1^{2n-1-2j} x_2^{2j+1} - x_1^{2j+1} x_2^{2n-1-2j}$, $0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1$ and $2n \geq 4$;
- (3) type 1: $x_1^{2n-1-2j} x_2^{2j+1} + x_1^{2j+1} x_2^{2n-1-2j}$, $0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$ and $2n \geq 2$;
- (4) type 2: $x_1^{2n-2j} x_2^{2j} - x_1^{2j} x_2^{2n-2j}$, $0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$ and $2n \geq 2$.

The reason for naming types is that σ_i acts as multiplication by -1 on type $\#i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Consider polynomials in q invariant under $q \mapsto q\lambda(w)\rho(w)$, $w \in B_2$. It is easy to compute the Poincaré series for the ring of invariants (graded by degree), namely $\frac{1+z^3}{(1-z)(1-z^2)^3}$ and then describe the ring as

$$(1 \oplus (q_1 - q_4)(q_2^2 - q_3^2)) \mathbb{R}[q_1 + q_4, q_1^2 + q_4^2, q_2^2 + q_3^2, q_2 q_3].$$

The invariant of degree 1 is associated with the two-dimensional representation of B_2 and we set

$$g_0(q) = 2(q_1 + q_4).$$

There are 4 linearly independent invariants of degree 2, the two-sided $\sum_{i=1}^4 q_i^2$, and

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(q) &= q_1 q_4 + q_2 q_3, \\ g_2(q) &= \frac{1}{2}(q_1^2 - q_2^2 - q_3^2 + q_4^2), \\ g_3(q) &= q_1 q_4 - q_2 q_3. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(q\lambda(\sigma_1)) &= -g_1(q), g_2(q\lambda(\sigma_1)) = g_2(q), g_3(q\lambda(\sigma_1)) = -g_3(q), \\ g_1(q\lambda(\sigma_2)) &= g_1(q), g_2(q\lambda(\sigma_2)) = -g_2(q), g_3(q\lambda(\sigma_2)) = -g_3(q). \end{aligned}$$

Further $g_i(1, 0, 0, 1) = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$ (a plausible normalization). By simple orthogonality arguments we see that if $f(x)$ is a polynomial of even degree then $\int_{\Omega} f(x\tau(q)) g_i(q) d\mu(q) \neq 0$ only if $f(x)$ has a nonzero component of determinant type for $i = 3$, or type i for $i = 1, 2$. Experimentation quickly showed that the formula $Vf(x) = \int_{\Omega} f(x\tau(q)) g_3(q) d\mu(q)$ appeared to be valid for the determinant type, but the similar attempt failed for types 1 and 2 (in fact, no polynomial in q

of degree less than twelve with the correct behavior under the B_2 -action works). We comment on this quandary in Section 4. We set

$$\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i}, 1 \leq i \leq 4.$$

The formula for V comes from applying the adjoint action $L := \text{ad} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^4 \partial_i^2 \right)$ to (multiplication by) the g_i (recall $(\text{ad}(A)B)f := (AB - BA)f$ for operators A, B on polynomials f). Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} L(g_1) &= q_1 \partial_4 + q_4 \partial_1 + q_2 \partial_3 + q_3 \partial_2, \\ L(g_2) &= q_1 \partial_1 - q_2 \partial_2 - q_3 \partial_3 + q_4 \partial_4, \\ L(g_3) &= q_1 \partial_4 + q_4 \partial_1 - q_2 \partial_3 - q_3 \partial_2. \end{aligned}$$

These operators have the same invariance properties as the respective polynomials g_i . Set $D_0 := L(g_1 + g_2) = (q_1 + q_4)(\partial_1 + \partial_4) - (q_2 - q_3)(\partial_2 - \partial_3)$ and $D_3 := L(g_3)$.

The formula stated in the introduction can be given as a pure integral with no derivatives for $\kappa > \frac{3}{2}$. However another variable of integration occurs (a total of seven!). Let ω denote the integration operator:

$$\omega f(x) := \int_0^1 f(tx) t^{4\kappa-1} dt,$$

thus $\omega f(x) = \frac{1}{4\kappa+n} f(x)$ when f is homogeneous of degree n .

THEOREM 3. *Let $\kappa > \frac{3}{2}$ and suppose f is sufficiently smooth on a ball $B_R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \|x\|_2 < R\}$ for some $R > 0$, then for $x \in B_R$*

$$\begin{aligned} Vf(x) &= \int_{\Omega} f(x\tau(q)) (1 + g_0(q) + g_3(q)) d\mu(q) \\ &\quad + (2\kappa - 3) \int_{\Omega} \omega f(x\tau(q)) \tilde{g}_0(q, u, \theta, \phi_1, \phi_2, \psi_1, \psi_2) d\mu(q), \\ \tilde{g}_0 &= \frac{1}{1 + \cos \theta} \left(\frac{q_1 + q_4}{u \sin \phi_1 \sin \psi_1} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{1 - \cos \theta} \left(\frac{q_2 - q_3}{(1 - u) \sin \phi_2 \sin \psi_2} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

If $f(x)$ is homogeneous of degree n then $\int_{\Omega} f(x\tau(q)) g_3(q) d\mu(q)$ is zero when n is odd and equals $\frac{1}{8\kappa+n} \int_{\Omega} D_3 f(x\tau(q)) d\mu(q)$ when n is even (the proof is in the next subsection). Also we will prove the two equations (1.2) and (1.3). The factor $\frac{1}{4\kappa+n}$ in (1.3) can be replaced by the integral operator ω . The following uses an integration by parts to replace the differential operator D_0 by an integral, the last ingredient of the formula in the theorem.

LEMMA 2. *For $\kappa > \frac{3}{2}$ and a smooth function $h(q)$*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} D_0 h(q) d\mu(q) &= (2\kappa - 3) \times \\ \int_{\Omega} h(q) \left\{ \frac{1}{1 + \cos \theta} \left(\frac{q_1 + q_4}{u \sin \phi_1 \sin \psi_1} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{1 - \cos \theta} \left(\frac{q_2 - q_3}{(1 - u) \sin \phi_2 \sin \psi_2} \right)^2 \right\} d\mu(q) \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Use the notation and change-of-variable from Lemma 1 to set up the integration by parts. The measure is $d\mu = c_{\kappa} (z_1 z_2)^{\kappa-3/2} (\sin \theta)^{2\kappa-3} dq_1 dq_2 dq_3 dq_4 dud\theta$ and the region of integration Ω_1 is implicitly defined by $z_1 \geq 0, z_2 \geq 0, 0 \leq \theta \leq$

$\pi, 0 \leq u \leq 1$. If $w(q)$ vanishes on the boundary of the bounded domain Q in \mathbb{R}^4 then $\int_Q D_0 h(q) w(q) dq = -\int_Q h(q) D_0 w(q) dq$, (although $\int_Q q_j \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} h(q) w(q) dq = -\int_Q h(q) \left(1 + q_j \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j}\right) w(q) dq$ for $1 \leq j \leq 4$ the terms $\int_Q h(q) w(q) dq$ cancel out). We find

$$D_0 z_1 = -2 \frac{(1 - \cos \theta) (q_1 + q_4)^2}{\sin^2 \theta} = -2 \frac{(q_1 + q_4)^2}{1 + \cos \theta},$$

$$D_0 z_2 = 2 \frac{(1 + \cos \theta) (q_2 - q_3)^2}{\sin^2 \theta} = 2 \frac{(q_2 - q_3)^2}{1 - \cos \theta},$$

and $D_0 (z_1 z_2)^{\kappa-3/2} = (\kappa - \frac{3}{2}) \left(\frac{D_0 z_1}{z_1} + \frac{D_0 z_2}{z_2} \right) (z_1 z_2)^{\kappa-3/2}$. Then change back to the original variables $\phi_1, \phi_2, \psi_1, \psi_2, u, \theta$ to complete the proof. \square

2.2. Proof of the intertwining property. Suppose ξ is a linear functional on polynomials in $q = (q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4)$, and define an operator on polynomials in $x = (x_1, x_2)$ by $V_1 f(x) = \xi f(x_1 q_1 + x_2 q_2, x_1 q_3 + x_2 q_4)$. What needs to be done to show $V_1 = V$, the intertwining operator? The group invariance requires $\xi p(q) = \xi p(q_4, q_3, q_2, q_1) = \xi p(q_1, -q_2, -q_3, q_4)$.

DEFINITION 2. Let ξ_0 denote the functional defined by $q^\alpha \mapsto s(\alpha)$. For a homogeneous polynomial $p(q)$ in q of degree n define the functional ξ by:

$$\xi(p) = \xi_0(g_0 p), \text{ for } n \text{ odd,}$$

$$\xi(p) = \xi_0 \left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{4\kappa + n} D_0 + \frac{1}{8\kappa + n} D_3 \right) p(q) \right), \text{ for } n \text{ even.}$$

Using [4, Prop. 1.3] we get the homogeneous component of the criterion:

$$(2.1) \quad (n+1) \left(\langle x, y \rangle \xi(\langle x\tau(q), y \rangle^n) - \xi(\langle x\tau(q), y \rangle^{n+1}) \right)$$

$$= \kappa \sum_{i=1}^4 \left(\xi(\langle x\tau(q), y \rangle^{n+1}) - \xi(\langle x\sigma_i \tau(q), y \rangle^{n+1}) \right),$$

for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, where $\{\sigma_i\}$ is the set of reflections $\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2, \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1\}$, and $\sigma_i \tau(q) = \tau(q\lambda(\sigma_i))$. Rewrite the criterion as:

$$\xi \left((\partial_1 + \partial_4) \langle x\tau(q), y \rangle^{n+1} \right) - (n+1+4\kappa) \xi \left(\langle x\tau(q), y \rangle^{n+1} \right)$$

$$+ \kappa \sum_{i=1}^4 \xi \left(\langle x\tau(q\lambda(\sigma_i)), y \rangle^{n+1} \right) = 0$$

Note $(\partial_1 + \partial_4) \langle x\tau(q), y \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$. We will prove ξ satisfies the criterion and also that $\xi_0(D_3 p(q)) = (8\kappa + n) \xi_0(g_3 p)$ when p is of degree n (both sides vanish when n is odd). We have

$$q\lambda(\sigma_1) = (-q_1, q_2, -q_3, q_4),$$

$$q\lambda(\sigma_2) = (q_2, q_1, q_4, q_3),$$

$$q\lambda(\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1) = (-q_2, -q_1, -q_4, -q_3),$$

$$q\lambda(\sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2) = (q_1, -q_2, q_3, -q_4).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^4 g_j(q\lambda(\sigma_i)) &= 0, j = 0, 1, 2, \\ \sum_{i=1}^4 g_3(q\lambda(\sigma_i)) &= -4g_3(q). \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding differential operators $L(g_j)$ satisfy similar equations for $j = 1, 2, 3$ because $\sum_{i=1}^4 \partial_i^2$ is two-sided invariant, that is, $\sum_{i=1}^4 L(g_j)p(q\lambda(\sigma_i)) = 0$ for $j = 1, 2$ and $\sum_{i=1}^4 L(g_3)p(q\lambda(\sigma_i)) = -4L(g_3)p(q)$.

The proof of the criterion is easy when n is odd.

PROPOSITION 3. *Suppose n is odd and $p(q)$ is homogeneous of degree $n + 1$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\xi_0(g_0(q)(\partial_1 + \partial_4)p(q)) \\ &- (n + 1 + 4\kappa)\xi_0\left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{4\kappa + n + 1}D_0 + \frac{1}{8\kappa + n + 1}D_3\right)p(q)\right) \\ &+ \kappa\xi_0\left(\left(4 - \frac{4}{8\kappa + n + 1}D_3\right)p(q)\right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. In fact, the left side simplifies to

$$\xi_0((2(q_1 + q_4)(\partial_1 + \partial_4) - (n + 1) - D_0 - D_3)p(q)).$$

Replace $n + 1$ by the Euler operator $\sum_{i=1}^4 q_i \partial_i$ then the expression becomes identically zero. \square

COROLLARY 1. *The criterion (2.1) is satisfied for odd n .*

PROOF. Set $p(q) = \langle x\tau(q), y \rangle^{n+1}$ in the Theorem. \square

For the odd degree case (n even) replace n by $2n$, then the criterion becomes

$$(2.2) \quad (4\kappa + 2n + 1)\xi_0(\langle x\tau(q), y \rangle^{2n+1} g_0(q)) - \xi((\partial_1 + \partial_4)\langle x\tau(q), y \rangle^{2n+1}) = 0.$$

This equation can be restated as

$$(4\kappa + 2n + 1)K_{2n+1}(x, y) = \langle x, y \rangle K_{2n}(x, y).$$

Recall the definition of $P_{a,b}^c(q)$ from (1.6). Thus

$$\langle x\tau(q), y \rangle^n = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} y_1^{n-i} y_2^i \sum_{c=0}^n P_{n-i,i}^c(q) x_1^{n-c} x_2^c,$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. So it suffices to prove identities involving ξ and $\langle x\tau(q), y \rangle^n$ for the polynomials $P_{a,b}^c$. There are two immediate consequences of the invariance properties of ξ .

$$\begin{aligned} P_{a,b}^c(q\lambda(\sigma_1)\rho(\sigma_1)) &= (-1)^{b+c} P_{a,b}^c(q), \\ \xi(P_{a,b}^c) \neq 0 &\implies b \equiv c \pmod{2}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} P_{a,b}^c(q\lambda(\sigma_2)\rho(\sigma_2)) &= P_{b,a}^{a+b-c}(q), \\ \xi(P_{a,b}^c) &= \xi(P_{b,a}^{a+b-c}). \end{aligned}$$

With the aim of applying condition (2.2) to $P_{a,b}^c$ with $a+b=2n+1$ we can assume that a is odd, b and c are even. This implies $\xi_0(q_4 P_{a,b}^c(q)) = 0$ (the typical monomial is $q_1^{a-c+i} q_2^{c-i} q_3^{b-i} q_4^{i+1}$; the parities of the exponents of q_3 and q_4 are opposite).

In the evaluation of $\xi_0(g_0 P_{a,b}^c)$ and $\xi((\partial_1 + \partial_4) P_{a,b}^c)$ there are several vanishing terms:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_0(q_4 P_{a,b}^c) &= \xi_0(\partial_4 P_{a,b}^c) = \xi_0(q_i \partial_i \partial_4 P_{a,b}^c) = 0, 1 \leq i \leq 4, \\ \xi_0(q_4 \partial_1^2 P_{a,b}^c) &= \xi_0(q_1 \partial_4 \partial_1 P_{a,b}^c) = \xi_0(q_2 \partial_3 \partial_1 P_{a,b}^c) = \xi_0(q_3 \partial_2 \partial_1 P_{a,b}^c) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_0(D_0(\partial_1 + \partial_4) P_{a,b}^c) &= \xi_0(L(g_2) \partial_1 P_{a,b}^c) + \xi_0(L(g_1) \partial_4 P_{a,b}^c), \\ \xi_0(D_3(\partial_1 + \partial_4) P_{a,b}^c) &= \xi_0(D_3 \partial_4 P_{a,b}^c). \end{aligned}$$

The required identity is

$$\begin{aligned} (2.3) \quad &(4\kappa + 2n + 1) \xi_0(2q_1 P_{a,b}^c) - \xi_0\left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{4\kappa + 2n} L(g_2)\right) \partial_1 P_{a,b}^c\right) \\ &- \frac{1}{(2\kappa + n)(4\kappa + n)} \xi_0(\{(3\kappa + n)(q_4 \partial_1 + q_1 \partial_4) + \kappa(q_3 \partial_2 + q_2 \partial_3)\} \partial_4 P_{a,b}^c) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

We will prove this by summing over the monomials in $P_{a,b}^c$, that is, we replace $P_{a,b}^c$ in the left side by $q_1^{a-c+i} q_2^{c-i} q_3^{b-i} q_4^i$ and evaluate ξ_0 (in terms of $s(\alpha)$). The partial sums are found explicitly by use of contiguity relations for the ${}_4F_3$ -type function F .

Set $a = 2a_1 + 1 + 2a_3, b = 2a_2, c = 2a_3$ and so $n = a_1 + a_2 + a_3$ and assume for now that $a_1 \geq 0$, that is, $a > c$. Evaluate the left side for the monomial q^α with $\alpha = (2a_1 + 1 + i, 2a_3 - i, 2a_2 - i, i)$ (note $|\alpha| = 2n + 1$). One of the terms simplifies:

$$\begin{aligned} &\xi_0\left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{4\kappa + 2n} L(g_2)\right) \partial_1 q^\alpha\right) = \\ &(2a_1 + 1 + i) \left(1 + \frac{2a_1 - 2a_2 - 2a_3 - 4i}{4\kappa + 2n}\right) s(2a_1 + i, 2a_3 - i, 2a_2 - i, i) \\ &= 2(2a_1 + 1 + i) \frac{(\kappa + a_1 - i)}{2\kappa + n} s(2a_1 + i, 2a_3 - i, 2a_2 - i, i). \end{aligned}$$

To remove some common factors we divide by $s(2a_1 + 2, 2a_3, 2a_2, 0)$ (see Proposition 2 for the evaluation) and denote the result by t_i . The following expression is

a linear combination of F values with simple coefficients

$$\begin{aligned}
s(2a_1 + 2, 2a_3, 2a_2, 0) t_i &= 2(4\kappa + 2n + 1) s(2a_1 + 2 + i, 2a_3 - i, 2a_2 - i, i) \\
&\quad - 2 \frac{(2a_1 + 1 + i)(\kappa + a_1 + i)}{2\kappa + n} s(2a_1 + i, 2a_3 - i, 2a_2 - i, i) \\
&\quad - \frac{i(3\kappa + n)}{(2\kappa + n)(4\kappa + n)} \{ (2a_1 + 1 + i) s(2a_1 + i, 2a_3 - i, 2a_2 - i, i) \\
&\quad \quad + (i - 1) s(2a_1 + 2 + i, 2a_3 - i, 2a_2 - i, i - 2) \} \\
&\quad - \frac{i\kappa}{(2\kappa + n)(4\kappa + n)} \{ (2a_3 - i) s(2a_1 + 1 + i, 2a_3 - i - 1, 2a_2 - i + 1, i - 1) \\
&\quad \quad + (2a_2 - i) s(2a_1 + 1 + i, 2a_3 - i + 1, 2a_2 - i - 1, i - 1) \}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then $t_0 = 0$ (directly). Rewrite $\binom{a}{c-i} \binom{b}{i} = \binom{a}{c} \frac{(-c)_i (-b)_i}{i!(a-c+1)_i} = \binom{a}{c} \frac{(-2a_3)_i (-2a_2)_i}{i!(2a_1+2)_i}$. The proof of the following is in Section 3.3.

THEOREM 4. *Suppose $a_1 \geq 0$ and $m \geq 1$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{(-2a_3)_i (-2a_2)_i}{i!(2a_1+2)_i} t_i &= \frac{2^{2m+3} \kappa a_2 a_3 (2-2a_2)_{m-1} (2-2a_3)_{m-1} (\kappa+a_1+1)_m}{(m-1)! (-2\kappa-2a_2-2a_3+1)_{2m}} \\
&\quad \times \frac{(a_1 + \frac{3}{2})_{m-1} (4\kappa + 2n + 1)}{(2a_1 + 2)_m (4\kappa + n)} F(m-1; \kappa+1, a_1+1, a_2-1, a_3-1).
\end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 2. *The identity (2.3) is valid and criterion (2.1) is satisfied for even n .*

PROOF. The factor $(2-2a_2)_{m-1} (2-2a_3)_{m-1}$ vanishes for $m \geq 2a_2$ or $m \geq 2a_3$. Multiply both sides by $\binom{2a_1+2a_3+1}{2a_3}$, the left side becomes

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2a_3} \frac{(-2a_2)_i (-2a_1-2a_3-1)_{2a_3-i}}{i!(2a_3-i)!} t_i.$$

If $a_1 \geq 0$ set $m = \min(2a_2, 2a_3)$. The poles of F (as rational function of a_2, a_3) occur in a subset of $\frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{N}_0$. Next we use a weak form of analytic continuation to apply the Theorem to the case $a_1 < 0$. The terms in the left side with $2a_3 - i - 1 + (-2a_1 - 2a_3 - 1) \geq 0$ vanish, that is, for $i < -2a_1 - 1$. Further $(2a_1 + 1)! \times (2a_1 + 2)_m = (2a_1 + m + 1)!$. Since $1/(2a_1 + m + 1)!$ is entire for fixed m the identity (left side minus right side, for fixed a_2, a_3, m) can be considered as a meromorphic function of a_1 vanishing for all $a_1 \geq 0$ except possibly at the poles, which form a subset of $\frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$. Now let $a_1 \rightarrow -\ell$ with $1 \leq \ell \leq a_3$. The terms in the left side (the sum) vanish for $i \leq 2\ell - 2$ and the right side vanishes for $2a_1 + m + 1 \leq -1$, that is, $m \leq 2\ell - 2$. By analytic continuation $\sum_{i=2\ell-1}^{\min(2a_2, 2a_3)} \binom{2a_1+2a_3+1}{2a_3-i} \binom{2a_2}{i} t_i = 0$. It remains to change the normalizing factor in t_i to $s(1, 2a_3 + 2a_1 + 1, 2a_2 + 2a_1 + 1, -2a_1 - 1)$. Note that $0 \leq c \leq a+b$ implies $2a_1 + 2a_2 + 1 \geq 0$. The formal expression (put $i = -2a_1 - 1 = 2\ell - 1$)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{s(1, 2a_3 + 2a_1 + 1, 2a_2 + 2a_1 + 1, -2a_1 - 1)}{s(2a_1 + 2, 2a_3, 2a_2, 0)} \\
&= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2} - \kappa - a_2\right)_{\ell-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \kappa - a_3\right)_{\ell-1} (\kappa + a_1 + 1)_{2\ell-1} \left(\frac{3}{2} + a_1\right)_{2\ell-1}}{\left(\frac{1}{2} - a_2\right)_{\ell-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} - a_3\right)_{\ell-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \kappa - a_2 - a_3\right)_{2\ell-1} (1 - \kappa - a_2 - a_3)_{2\ell-1}}
\end{aligned}$$

has no poles or zeros at integer values of a_1 . Thus the identity remains valid when multiplied by this ratio. \square

The formula for the partial sum in the Theorem was discovered by experimentation, and recognizing that the factorization of the partial sum produces linear factors and an irreducible polynomial in $(\kappa + 1)(\kappa + n)$. The validity is proved by induction and a contiguity relation for F (that is, to show $\sum_{i=1}^m c_i = d_m$ for sequences $\{c_i\}, \{d_m\}$ it suffices to show $d_0 = 0$ and $c_m + d_{m-1} - d_m = 0$ for $m \geq 1$). We use a similar approach to the formula

$$(2.4) \quad (8\kappa + 2n) \xi_0 \left((q_1 q_4 - q_2 q_3) \langle x\tau(q), y \rangle^{2n} \right) - \xi_0 \left(D_3 \langle x\tau(q), y \rangle^{2n} \right) = 0$$

for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. The expression $(8\kappa + 2n) \xi_0 \left((q_1 q_4 - q_2 q_3) P_{a,b}^c(q) \right) - \xi_0 \left(D_3 P_{a,b}^c(q) \right)$ with $a + b = 2n$ vanishes when a and b are even, so it suffices to take a, b, c all odd. Furthermore assume $a \geq c$, otherwise use the symmetry $P_{a,b}^c(q_4, q_3, q_2, q_1) = P_{b,a}^{a+b-c}(q)$, which produces the same integral because of the invariance properties of $q_1 q_4 - q_2 q_3$ and μ , and replace a, b, c by $b, a, a + b - c$ respectively. Note that $a + b - c$ is odd and $c \geq a$ implies $a + b - c \leq b$. Let $a = 2a_1 + 2a_3 + 1, b = 2a_2 + 1, c = 2a_3 + 1$, so $n = a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + 1$ and $a_1, a_2, a_3 \geq 0$. The monomials in $P_{a,b}^c$ are q^α with $\alpha = (2a_1 + i, 2a_3 + 1 - i, 2a_2 + 1 - i, i)$ and $|\alpha| = 2n$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} s(2a_1, 2a_2 + 2, 2a_3 + 2, 0) t_i = & \\ \xi_0 \left(((8\kappa + 2n)(q_1 q_4 - q_2 q_3) - D_3) q_1^{2a_1+i} q_2^{2a_3+1-i} q_3^{2a_2+1-i} q_4^i \right) & \\ = (8\kappa + 2n) s(2a_1 + 1 + i, 2a_3 + 1 - i, 2a_2 + 1 - i, i + 1) & \\ - (8\kappa + 2n) s(2a_1 + i, 2a_3 + 2 - i, 2a_2 + 2 - i, i) & \\ - (2a_1 + i) s(2a_1 + i - 1, 2a_3 + 1 - i, 2a_2 + 1 - i, i + 1) & \\ - i s(2a_1 + i + 1, 2a_3 + 1 - i, 2a_2 + 1 - i, i - 1) & \\ + (2a_3 + 1 - i) s(2a_1 + i, 2a_3 - i, 2a_2 + 2 - i, i) & \\ + (2a_2 + 1 - i) s(2a_1 + i, 2a_3 + 2 - i, 2a_2 - i, i). & \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the following is in Section 3.

THEOREM 5. *Suppose $a_1 \geq 0$ and $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ then*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^m \frac{(-2a_2 - 1)_i (-2a_3 - 1)_i t_i}{i! (2a_1 + 1)_i} = & \frac{2^{2m+3} \kappa (\kappa + a_1)_{m+1} (-2a_2)_m (-2a_3)_m (a_1 + \frac{1}{2})_m}{m! (-2\kappa - 2a_2 - 2a_3 - 3)_{2m+2} (2a_1 + 1)_m} \\ & \times (4\kappa + 3n + 2) F(m; \kappa + 1, a_1, a_2, a_3). \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 3. *Equation (2.4) is valid.*

PROOF. Multiply the formula by $\binom{2a_1+2a_3+1}{2a_3+1}$ and set $m = \min(2a_2 + 1, 2a_3 + 1)$. The factor $(-2a_2)_m (-2a_3)_m$ vanishes for $m \geq 2a_2 + 1$ or $m \geq 2a_3 + 1$. \square

In the paper [4] the proof depended heavily on several integrations by parts. It may be possible that such a proof exists in this case (given sufficient ingenuity), but the method of integrating $P_{a,b}^c(q)$ by use of ${}_4F_3$ -series seemed more straightforward.

3. Hypergeometric series tools

3.1. The single sum. A fundamental transformation for terminating ${}_3F_2$ series (for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$) is

$${}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} -n, a, b \\ c, d \end{matrix}; 1 \right) = \frac{(d-b)_n}{(d)_n} {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} -n, c-a, b \\ c, 1+b-d-n \end{matrix}; 1 \right).$$

By iterating we obtain two useful transformations:

$$(3.1) \quad {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} -n, a, b \\ c, d \end{matrix}; 1 \right) = \frac{(c+d-a-b)_n}{(d)_n} {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} -n, c-a, c-b \\ c, c-a-b+d \end{matrix}; 1 \right),$$

and (this one provides the sum for the balanced case: $-n+a+b+1=c+d$)

$$(3.2) \quad {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} -n, a, b \\ c, d \end{matrix}; 1 \right) = (-1)^n \frac{(d-a)_n (d-b)_n}{(c)_n (d)_n} \\ \times {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} -n, a+b-n+1-c-d, 1-d-n \\ a-d+1-n, b-d+1-n \end{matrix}; 1 \right).$$

We also need the Whipple transformation (see [1, p. 56]) for balanced terminating ${}_4F_3$ series: if $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $-n+a+b+c+1=c+d+e$ then

$$(3.3) \quad {}_4F_3 \left(\begin{matrix} -n, a, b, c \\ d, e, f \end{matrix}; 1 \right) = \frac{(e-a)_n (f-a)_n}{(e)_n (f)_n} \\ \times {}_4F_3 \left(\begin{matrix} -n, a, d-b, d-c \\ d, e-b-c+d, f-b-c+d \end{matrix}; 1 \right).$$

With the aid of these formulae we prove the single-sum expression for $s(\alpha)$. To concentrate on the intermediate steps we change some variables:

$$u_1 = \frac{1}{2} - b_1 = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_4), \\ u_2 = \frac{1}{2} - b_0 = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_3), \\ m = \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_3 - \alpha_4), \\ n = \left\lfloor \frac{\alpha_4}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

Also write a for α_4 . By the parity condition m is an integer; further the sets $\{\frac{a}{2}, \frac{a-1}{2}\}$ and $\{n, a-n-\frac{1}{2}\}$ are equal. For now we assume $m > -n$ (this is necessary because factors $(m+1)_i$ with $0 \leq i \leq n$ will appear in denominators). The special case $m = -n$, corresponding to $\alpha_3 = 0, 1$ will be handled later. Start with the double sum from equation (1.4a); note $(-\alpha_4)_{2i} = 2^{2i} (-\frac{\alpha_4}{2})_i (\frac{1-\alpha_4}{2})_i = 2^{2i} (-n)_i (n-a+\frac{1}{2})_i$ and similarly $(-\alpha_3)_{2j} = 2^{2j} (-m-n)_j (-m+n-a+\frac{1}{2})_j$.

Further $\frac{1}{2} - b_3 = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4) = \frac{1}{2} - m - a$.

$$\begin{aligned}
S &= \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^{m+n} \frac{(-n)_i (n-a+\frac{1}{2})_i (-m-n)_j (-m+n-a+\frac{1}{2})_j (\kappa)_{i+j}}{i!j!(u_1)_i (u_2)_j (\frac{1}{2}-m-a)_{i+j}} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{(-n)_i (n-a+\frac{1}{2})_i (\kappa)_i}{i!(u_1)_i (\frac{1}{2}-m-a)_i} {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} -m-n, -m+n-a+\frac{1}{2}, \kappa+i \\ \frac{1}{2}-m-a+i, u_2 \end{matrix}; 1 \right) \\
&= f_1 \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{(-n)_i (n-a+\frac{1}{2})_i (\kappa)_i}{i!(u_1)_i (\frac{1}{2}-m-a)_i} {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} -m-n, \frac{1}{2}-m-a-\kappa, i-n \\ \frac{1}{2}-m-a+i, -n-\kappa+u_2 \end{matrix}; 1 \right) \\
&= f_1 \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^{n-i} \frac{(-n)_{i+j} (n-a+\frac{1}{2})_i (-m-n)_j (\frac{1}{2}-m-a-\kappa)_j (\kappa)_i}{i!j!(u_1)_i (-n-\kappa+u_2)_j (\frac{1}{2}-m-a)_{i+j}} \\
&= f_1 S', \quad f_1 := \frac{(-\kappa+u_2-n)_{n+m}}{(u_2)_{n+m}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The transformation (3.1) is used on the inner sum. Note that the range of summation is now changed to $\{(i, j) : i \geq 0, j \geq 0, i+j \leq n\}$, and m can be considered a formal parameter. Reversing the order of summation and using equation (3.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
S' &= \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(-n)_j (-m-n)_j (\frac{1}{2}-m-a-\kappa)_j}{j!(-n-\kappa+u_2)_j (\frac{1}{2}-m-a)_j} {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} j-n, n-a+\frac{1}{2}, \kappa \\ u_1, \frac{1}{2}-m-a+j \end{matrix}; 1 \right) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(-n)_j (-m-n)_j (\frac{1}{2}-m-a-\kappa)_j}{j!(-n-\kappa+u_2)_j (\frac{1}{2}-m-a)_j} \\
&\quad \times (-1)^{n-j} \frac{(-m+j-n)_{n-j} (\frac{1}{2}-m-a-\kappa+j)_{n-j}}{(u_1)_{n-j} (\frac{1}{2}-m-a+j)_{n-j}} \\
&\quad \times {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} j-n, -u_1+\kappa+m+1, \frac{1}{2}+m+a-n \\ \frac{1}{2}+\kappa+m+a-n, m+1 \end{matrix}; 1 \right),
\end{aligned}$$

and reversing the order of summation again we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
S' &= (-1)^n \frac{(-m-n)_n (\frac{1}{2}-m-a-\kappa)_n}{(\frac{1}{2}-m-a)_n (u_1)_n} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^{n-i} \frac{(-n)_i (-u_1+\kappa+m+1)_i (\frac{1}{2}+m+a-n)_i (i-n)_j (1-n-u_1)_j}{i!j! (\frac{1}{2}+\kappa+m+a-n)_i (m+1)_i (-n-\kappa+u_2)_j} \\
&= f_2 \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{(-n)_i (-u_1+\kappa+m+1)_i (\frac{1}{2}+m+a-n)_i (u_1+u_2-\kappa-1)_{n-i}}{i! (\frac{1}{2}+\kappa+m+a-n)_i (m+1)_i (-n-\kappa+u_2)_{n-i}} \\
&= f_2 S'', \quad f_2 := \frac{(m+1)_n (\frac{1}{2}-m-a-\kappa)_n}{(\frac{1}{2}-m-a)_n (u_1)_n}.
\end{aligned}$$

At the second last equation the j -sum is done with the Chu-Vandermonde sum (${}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} -n, b \\ c \end{matrix}; 1 \right) = \frac{(c-b)_n}{(c)_n}$). Replace i by $n-i$ in the sum S'' , and use the relations

$(b)_{n-i} = (-1)^i (b)_n / (1-b-n)_i$ and $(-n)_{n-i} / (n-i)! = (-1)^n (-n)_i / i!$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S'' &= (-1)^n \frac{(-u_1 + \kappa + m + 1)_n \left(\frac{1}{2} + m + a - n\right)_n}{\left(\frac{1}{2} + \kappa + m + a - n\right)_n (m+1)_n} \\ &\quad \times {}_4F_3 \left(\begin{matrix} -n, u_1 + u_2 - \kappa - 1, \frac{1}{2} - m - a - \kappa, -m - n \\ \frac{1}{2} - m - a, u_1 - \kappa - m - n, -n - \kappa + u_2 \end{matrix} ; 1 \right) \\ &= f_3 {}_4F_3 \left(\begin{matrix} -n, u_1 + u_2 - \kappa - 1, n - a + \frac{1}{2}, \kappa \\ \frac{1}{2} - m - a, u_2 + m, u_1 \end{matrix} ; 1 \right), \\ f_3 &= (-1)^n \frac{(-u_1 + \kappa + m + 1)_n \left(\frac{1}{2} - m - a\right)_n (1 - u_1 - n)_n (1 - u_2 - m - n)_n}{\left(\frac{1}{2} - \kappa - m - a\right)_n (m+1)_n (-n - \kappa + u_2)_n (-n + u_1 - \kappa - m)_n}. \end{aligned}$$

The Whipple transformation is valid since the first ${}_4F_3$ -series is balanced (as is the second, of course). We combine the factors

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 f_2 f_3 &= \frac{(-\kappa + u_2 - n)_{n+m} (m+1)_n \left(\frac{1}{2} - m - a - \kappa\right)_n}{(u_2)_{n+m} \left(\frac{1}{2} - m - a\right)_n (u_1)_n} \\ &\quad \times \frac{(-u_1 + \kappa + m + 1)_n \left(\frac{1}{2} - m - a\right)_n (u_1)_n (u_2 + m)_n}{\left(\frac{1}{2} - \kappa - m - a\right)_n (m+1)_n (-n - \kappa + u_2)_n (-u_1 + \kappa + m + 1)_n} \\ &= \frac{(u_2 - \kappa)_m}{(u_2)_m}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$S = \frac{(u_2 - \kappa)_m}{(u_2)_m} {}_4F_3 \left(\begin{matrix} -n, u_1 + u_2 - \kappa - 1, n - a + \frac{1}{2}, \kappa \\ \frac{1}{2} - m - a, u_2 + m, u_1 \end{matrix} ; 1 \right).$$

It is possible that $0 > m > -n$ (that is, $\alpha_3 < \alpha_4$) in which case the equation $(t)_m = \frac{1}{(t+m)_{-m}} = \frac{(-1)^m}{(1-t)_{-m}}$ applies, and so $\frac{(u_2 - \kappa)_m}{(u_2)_m} = \frac{(1 - u_2)_{-m}}{(1 + \kappa - u_2)_{-m}}$. Finally for the special case $m = -n$ the double sum S reduces to the single sum

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{(-n)_i (n - a + \frac{1}{2})_i (\kappa)_i}{i! (u_1)_i \left(\frac{1}{2} - m - a\right)_i} = \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{(-n)_i (\kappa)_i}{i! (u_1)_i} \\ &= \frac{(u_1 - \kappa)_n}{(u_1)_n}, \end{aligned}$$

while the ${}_4F_3$ series reduces to a balanced ${}_3F_2$ series, so that

$${}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} -n, u_1 + u_2 - \kappa - 1, \kappa \\ u_2 - n, u_1 \end{matrix} \right) = (-1)^n \frac{(1 + \kappa - u_2)_n (u_1 - \kappa)_n}{(u_2 - n)_n (u_1)_n},$$

(by use of formula (3.2)) and the result multiplied by $\frac{(1 - u_2)_{-m}}{(1 + \kappa - u_2)_{-m}}$ produces

$$\frac{(u_1 - \kappa)_n}{(u_1)_n}, \text{ which equals } S.$$

This finishes the argument, and now we can show the three-term recurrence applies to $s(\alpha)$. Returning to the original variables, we note $b_0 - m = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 - \alpha_3 + \alpha_4) =$

b_2 , thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(u_2 - \kappa)_m}{(u_2)_m} &= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2} + b_0 + \kappa - m\right)_m \left(\kappa + \frac{1}{2}\right)_{b_2} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{b_2}}{\left(\frac{1}{2} + b_0 - m\right)_m \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{b_2} \left(\kappa + \frac{1}{2}\right)_{b_2}} \\ &= \frac{\left(\kappa + \frac{1}{2}\right)_{b_0} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{b_2}}{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{b_0} \left(\kappa + \frac{1}{2}\right)_{b_2}}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves formula (1.7).

3.2. Three term recurrence and symmetries.

PROPOSITION 4. For $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\begin{aligned} &n(n + 2v_1) \left(n + \kappa + v_1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\kappa + \frac{1}{2} + v_2 + v_3 - n\right) F(n - 1; \kappa, v_1, v_2, v_3) \\ &+ \left\{ n(n + 2v_1) \left(n - v_2 - v_3 - \frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(n + \frac{1}{2} + v_1\right) (n - 2v_2) (n - 2v_3) \right\} \\ &\times \left(n + v_1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) F(n; \kappa, v_1, v_2, v_3) \\ &= \left(n + v_1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(n + v_1 + \frac{1}{2}\right) (n - 2v_2) (n - 2v_3) F(n + 1; \kappa, v_1, v_2, v_3) \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Expand the left side as a series in $g_i := (\kappa)_i (-\kappa - v_1 - v_2 - v_3)_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor$. Start with the equation

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(n + \kappa + v_1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\kappa + \frac{1}{2} + v_2 + v_3 - n\right) = \\ &\left(\frac{1}{2} - v_1 - n + i\right) \left(n - v_2 - v_3 - \frac{1}{2} + i\right) - (\kappa + i) (-\kappa - v_1 - v_2 - v_3 + i). \end{aligned}$$

The outline of the calculation is this: write $F(n - 1) = \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i g_i$ and $F(n) = \sum_{i \geq 0} a'_i g_i$ (suppressing the other arguments); note that $g_i (\kappa + i) (-\kappa - v_1 - v_2 - v_3 + i) = g_{i+1}$ then collect term-by-term in

$$\begin{aligned} &(n + 2v_1) \left\{ n \left(\frac{1}{2} - v_1 - n + i\right) \left(n - v_2 - v_3 - \frac{1}{2} + i\right) \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i g_i \right. \\ &- n \sum_{i \geq 1} a_{i-1} g_i + n \left(n - v_2 - v_3 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(n + v_1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \sum_{i \geq 0} a'_i g_i \left. \right\} \\ &+ \left(n + \frac{1}{2} + v_1\right) \left(n + v_1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) (n - 2v_2) (n - 2v_3) \sum_{i \geq 0} a'_i g_i. \end{aligned}$$

Write this sum as $\sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{\binom{-\frac{n}{2}}{i} \binom{-\frac{1+n}{2}}{i}}{i! \binom{\frac{1}{2}-n-v_1}{i} \binom{\frac{1}{2}-v_2}{i} \binom{\frac{1}{2}-v_3}{i}} c_i g_i$, then (in corresponding order)

$$\begin{aligned} c_i &= \frac{n+2v_1}{n+1} \left(n+v_1 - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left\{ -(n-2i)(n+1-2i) \left(n-v_2-v_3 - \frac{1}{2} + i \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + n(n+1-2i) \left(n-v_2-v_3 - \frac{1}{2} \right) + i(2v_2+1-2i)(2v_3+1-i) \right\} \\ &\quad + \frac{n+1-2i}{n+1} \left(n+v_1 - \frac{1}{2} \right) (n-2v_2)(n-2v_3) \\ &= \frac{(n-2v_2)(n-2v_3)}{n+1} \left(n+v_1 - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left\{ i(n+2v_1) + \left(n+v_1 + \frac{1}{2} \right) (n+1-2i) \right\} \\ &= (n-2v_2)(n-2v_3) \left(n+v_1 - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(n+v_1 + \frac{1}{2} + i \right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally $(n+v_1 + \frac{1}{2} + i) / \binom{\frac{1}{2}-n-v_1}{i} = (n+v_1 + \frac{1}{2}) / \binom{-\frac{1}{2}-n-v_1}{i}$, which proves the identity. \square

COROLLARY 4. *The recurrence (1.5) for $s'(\alpha)$ is valid.*

PROOF. Suppose $\alpha_1 \equiv \alpha_2 \equiv \alpha_3 \equiv \alpha_4 \pmod{2}$ then set $c'(\alpha) = \prod_{i=1}^3 \frac{\binom{\frac{1}{2}}{b_i}}{\binom{\kappa+\frac{1}{2}}{b_i}}$ where $b_i = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_i + \alpha_4)$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Then $s'(\alpha)/c'(\alpha)$ satisfies the recurrence for F in the Proposition, with $n = \alpha_4$, $v_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_4)$, $v_2 = b_2$, $v_3 = b_3$. Multiply the recurrence by $c'(\alpha)$ then the coefficient of $F(n-1)$ is multiplied by

$$\frac{c'(\alpha)}{c'(\alpha_1-1, \alpha_2+1, \alpha_3+1, \alpha_4-1)} = \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_4 - 1}{2\kappa + \alpha_1 + \alpha_4 - 1}$$

and the coefficient of $F(n+1)$ is multiplied by

$$\frac{c'(\alpha)}{c'(\alpha_1+1, \alpha_2-1, \alpha_3-1, \alpha_4+1)} = \frac{2\kappa + \alpha_1 + \alpha_4 + 1}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_4 + 1}.$$

Divide out the common factor $\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_4 - 1) = (n+v_1 - \frac{1}{2})$ to obtain (1.5). \square

There is another, perhaps unexpected, symmetry:

PROPOSITION 5. *s' is completely symmetric in its arguments.*

PROOF. Already formula 1.7 shows the symmetry in $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$. One can argue from the $\alpha_2 \leftrightarrow \alpha_3$ and $\alpha_1 \leftrightarrow \alpha_4$ invariance together with the $\alpha \leftrightarrow (\alpha_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_4, \alpha_3)$ invariance of s . The Whipple transformation (3.3) gives a direct proof. It suffices to show $s'(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) = s'(\alpha_4, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_1)$. If all the α_i 's are even take $n = \frac{\alpha_4}{2}$, $a = \kappa$, $d = \frac{1}{2} - b_1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} s'(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) &= \frac{\binom{\frac{1}{2}}{\alpha_2/2} \binom{\frac{1}{2}}{\alpha_3/2}}{\binom{\kappa+\frac{1}{2}}{\alpha_2/2} \binom{\kappa+\frac{1}{2}}{\alpha_3/2}} \times \\ &\quad {}_4F_3 \left(\begin{matrix} -\frac{\alpha_4}{2}, \kappa, \frac{-\alpha_1}{2}, \kappa + \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3) \\ \frac{1}{2} - b_1, \kappa + \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha_3), \kappa + \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha_2) \end{matrix}; 1 \right), \end{aligned}$$

and this expression is symmetric in (α_1, α_4) . A similar argument works when α_4 is odd:

$$s'(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{(\alpha_2+1)/2} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{(\alpha_3+1)/2}}{\left(\kappa + \frac{1}{2}\right)_{(\alpha_2+1)/2} \left(\kappa + \frac{1}{2}\right)_{(\alpha_3+1)/2}} \times \\ {}_4F_3 \left(\begin{matrix} \frac{1-\alpha_4}{2}, \kappa, \frac{1-\alpha_1}{2}, \kappa + \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3) \\ \frac{1}{2} - b_1, \kappa + 1 + \frac{\alpha_3}{2}, \kappa + 1 + \frac{\alpha_2}{2} \end{matrix}; 1 \right).$$

□

3.3. Contiguity Relations. We start by writing the expressions in Theorems 4 and 5 in terms of the function F (see definition 1). Suppose $\alpha_1 \equiv \alpha_2 \equiv \alpha_3 \equiv \alpha_4 \pmod{2}$ then set

$$c(\alpha) = \frac{(2\kappa)_{\alpha_1+\alpha_4} (2\kappa)_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3}}{(4\kappa)_{|\alpha|}} \prod_{i=1}^3 \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{b_i}}{\left(\kappa + \frac{1}{2}\right)_{b_i}}$$

where $b_i = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_i + \alpha_4)$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$; thus $s(\alpha) = c(\alpha) F(\alpha_4; \kappa, \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_4), b_2, b_3)$. For Theorem 4 we set $i = m \geq 1$ and extract the common factor

$$C_m = \frac{c(2a_1 + m, 2a_3 - m, 2a_3 - m, m) (-2a_3)_m (-2a_2)_m}{s(2a_1 + 2, 2a_3, 2a_2, 0) m! (2a_1 + 2)_m (4\kappa + n) (2\kappa + n)} \\ = 2^{2m-1} \frac{(4\kappa + 2n + 1) (\kappa + a_1 + 1)_{m-1} (a_1 + \frac{3}{2})_{m-1} (-2a_3)_m (-2a_2)_m}{(4\kappa + n) (1 - 2\kappa - 2a_2 - 2a_3)_{2m} m! (2a_1 + 2)_m}.$$

Recall $n = \sum_{i=1}^3 a_i$. When $i = 0$ we have

$$t_0 = 2(4\kappa + 2n + 1) - 2 \frac{(2a_1 + 1) (\kappa + a_1) c(2a_1, 2a_3, 2a_3, 0)}{(2\kappa + n) s(2a_1 + 2, 2a_3, 2a_2, 0)} \\ = 0.$$

The plan is to use induction by showing $\frac{(-2a_3)_m (-2a_2)_m}{m!(2a_1+2)_m} t_m + d_{m-1} - d_m = 0$, where d_m denotes the claimed expression for the sum. The following multipliers are needed in the calculation of t_m :

$$\frac{c(2a_1 + m + 2, 2a_3 - m, 2a_2 - m, m)}{c(2a_1 + m, 2a_3 - m, 2a_2 - m, m)} = \frac{(\kappa + a_1 + m) (2a_1 + 2m + 1)}{(4\kappa + 2n + 1) (2\kappa + n)}, \\ \frac{c(2a_1 + m + 2, 2a_3 - m, 2a_2 - m, m - 2)}{c(2a_1 + m, 2a_3 - m, 2a_2 - m, m)} = \frac{(2\kappa + 2a_2 - 1) (2\kappa + 2a_3 - 1)}{(2a_2 - 1) (2a_3 - 1)}, \\ \frac{c(2a_1 + m + 1, 2a_3 - m - 1, 2a_2 - m + 1, m - 1)}{c(2a_1 + m, 2a_3 - m, 2a_2 - m, m)} = \frac{2\kappa + 2a_3 - 1}{2a_3 - 1}, \\ \frac{c(2a_1 + m + 1, 2a_3 - m + 1, 2a_2 - m - 1, m - 1)}{c(2a_1 + m, 2a_3 - m, 2a_2 - m, m)} = \frac{2\kappa + 2a_2 - 1}{2a_2 - 1}.$$

Write $d_m = A_m F(m - 1; \kappa + 1, a_1 + 1, a_2 - 1, a_3 - 1)$ then

$$\frac{A_m}{C_m} = 4m\kappa (\kappa + a_1 + m) \frac{(2a_2 - m) (2a_3 - m)}{(2a_2 - 1) (2a_3 - 1)}, \\ \frac{A_{m-1}}{A_m} = \frac{2(m-1)(2a_1 + m + 1)(\kappa + a_2 + a_3 - m)(\kappa + a_2 + a_3 + \frac{1}{2} - m)}{(\kappa + a_1 + m)(2a_1 + 2m - 1)(2a_2 - m)(2a_3 - m)}.$$

The expression $\left(\frac{(-2a_3)_m(-2a_2)_m}{m!(2a_1+2)_m}t_m + d_{m-1} - d_m\right)/C_m$ with each a_i is replaced by v_i (formal parameters instead of integers) becomes the left side of the equation in the following.

THEOREM 6. *Suppose $v_1, v_2, v_3 \notin -\frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{N}_0$, ($v_0 = v_1 + v_2 + v_3$) and $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ then*

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2(2v_1 + 2m + 1)(\kappa + v_1 + m)(4\kappa + v_0)F(m; \kappa, v_1 + 1, v_2, v_3) \\
& - \{2(\kappa + v_1 + m)(4\kappa + v_0) + m(3\kappa + v_0)\}(2v_1 + m + 1)F(m; \kappa, v_1, v_2, v_3) \\
& - m(m-1)\frac{(2v_2 + 2\kappa - 1)(2v_3 + 2\kappa - 1)}{(2v_2 - 1)(2v_3 - 1)}(3\kappa + v_0)F(m-2; \kappa, v_1 + 2, v_2 - 1, v_3 - 1) \\
& - m\kappa\frac{(2\kappa + 2v_3 - 1)}{2v_3 - 1}(2v_3 - m)F(m-1; \kappa, v_1 + 1, v_2, v_3 - 1) \\
& - m\kappa\frac{(2\kappa + 2v_2 - 1)}{2v_2 - 1}(2v_2 - m)F(m-1; \kappa, v_1 + 1, v_2 - 1, v_3) \\
& + m(m-1)\frac{8\kappa(2v_1 + m + 1)}{(2v_2 - 1)(2v_3 - 1)(2v_1 + 2m - 1)}(\kappa + v_2 + v_3 - m)\left(\kappa + v_2 + v_3 + \frac{1}{2} - m\right) \\
& \times F(m-2; \kappa + 1, v_1 + 1, v_2 - 1, v_3 - 1) \\
& - 4m\kappa\frac{(2v_2 - m)(2v_3 - m)}{(2v_2 - 1)(2v_3 - 1)}(\kappa + v_1 + m)F(m-1; \kappa + 1, v_1 + 1, v_2 - 1, v_3 - 1) \\
& = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

PROOF. We proceed by considering the left side as a polynomial in κ of the form

$$\sum_{i \geq 0} (c_i + d_i \kappa) (\kappa)_i (-\kappa - v_1 - v_2 - v_3)_i.$$

The coefficients are rational functions of v_1, v_2, v_3, m . Write the identity in abbreviated form as $\sum_{n=1}^7 \mu_n F(\gamma_n) = 0$ using the same order as above (for example, $\gamma_2 = (m; \kappa, v_1, v_2, v_3)$ and $\mu_5 = -m\kappa\frac{(2\kappa+2v_2-1)}{2v_2-1}(2v_2-m)$). Denote term $\#i$ of $F(\gamma_n)$ by $t_i(\gamma_n)$, (where $t_0(\gamma_n) = 1$ for all n) so that

$$t_i(\gamma_3) = \frac{\left(1 - \frac{m}{2}\right)_i \left(\frac{3-m}{2}\right)_i (\kappa)_i (-\kappa - v_1 - v_2 - v_3)_i}{\left(\frac{1}{2} - v_1 - m\right)_i \left(\frac{3}{2} - v_2\right)_i \left(\frac{3}{2} - v_3\right)_i i!},$$

for example; with the understanding that $F(m) = 0$ for $m < 0$. The verification is carried out by symbolic computation. The underlying idea is to set up the equations as rational functions of all of the variables. For example, $(a)_i$ is not rational in i , but for a fixed integer k the expression $(a)_i / (a+k)_i$ is rational in a and i because of the identity $(a)_i / (a+k)_i = (a)_k / (a+i)_k$. By use of symbolic calculation we evaluate

$$\begin{aligned}
p_i(\kappa) &= \mu_1 \frac{t_{i+1}(\gamma_1)}{t_i(\gamma_2)} + \mu_2 + \sum_{n=3}^7 \mu_n \frac{t_i(\gamma_n)}{t_i(\gamma_2)}, \\
p_0(\kappa) &= \mu_1 (1 + t_1(\gamma_1)) + \mu_2 + \sum_{n=3}^7 \mu_n,
\end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$. Indeed $p_i(\kappa)$ is a polynomial in κ of degree 4 (note that $\frac{t_i(\gamma_1)}{t_i(\gamma_2)}$ is not polynomial in κ for $i \geq 1$, and the factor κ in μ_6 and μ_7 is necessary since $\frac{t_i(\gamma_n)}{t_i(\gamma_2)}$

has κ in the denominator for $n = 6, 7$), further $p_i(\kappa)$ is rational in all the variables $(v_1, v_2, v_3, \kappa, i, m)$. Although i is an indexing variable the difference between the respective parameters in $\{\gamma_n\}$ is one of $0, \pm 1, \pm 2$ and thus $\frac{t_i(\gamma_n)}{t_i(\gamma_2)}$ is rational in i for each n . By computer algebra we find the coefficients $\{c_{i,k}\}_{k=0}^4$, which are functions of i, m, v_1, v_2, v_3 , so that

$$p_i(\kappa) = c_{i,0} + c_{i,1}\kappa + (c_{i,2} + c_{i,3}\kappa)g_{i,1}(\kappa) + c_{i,4}g_{i,2}(\kappa),$$

where $g_{i,k}(\kappa) := (\kappa + i)_k (-\kappa - v_0 + i)_k$ for $k \geq 0$, thus $g_{0,i}(\kappa)g_{i,k}(\kappa) = g_{0,i+k}(\kappa)$. For example

$$c_{i,4} = \frac{4(2i-m)(2i+1-m)}{(i+1)\left(\frac{1}{2}-v_2+i\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}-v_3+i\right)}$$

for $0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$, but the other coefficients are more complicated. Because the degree of κ in μ_1 is 2 the value of $c_{0,4}$ agrees with the generic $c_{i,4}$ with $i = 0$. For $i \geq 0$ let

$$r_i = \frac{t_i(\gamma_2)}{g_{0,i}(\kappa)},$$

so that r_i is independent of κ (thus $r_i = \frac{\left(-\frac{m}{2}\right)_i \left(\frac{1-m}{2}\right)_i}{i! \left(\frac{1}{2}-v_1-m\right)_i \left(\frac{1}{2}-v_2\right)_i \left(\frac{1}{2}-v_3\right)_i}$). The left side of the identity equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} p_i(\kappa) g_{0,i}(\kappa) r_i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} r_i ((c_{i,0} + c_{i,1}\kappa) g_{0,i} + (c_{i,2} + c_{i,3}\kappa) g_{0,i+1}(\kappa) + c_{i,4} g_{0,i+2}(\kappa)) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor + 2} g_{0,i}(r_i(c_{i,0} + c_{i,1}\kappa) + r_{i-1}(c_{i-1,2} + c_{i-1,3}\kappa) + r_{i-2}c_{i-2,4}). \end{aligned}$$

By symbolic computation

$$(c_{i,0} + c_{i,1}\kappa) + \frac{r_{i-1}}{r_i}(c_{i-1,2} + c_{i-1,3}\kappa) + \frac{r_{i-2}}{r_i}c_{i-2,4} = 0$$

for $2 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$. Also

$$\begin{aligned} c_{0,0} + c_{0,1}\kappa &= 0, \\ r_1(c_{1,0} + c_{1,1}\kappa) + r_0(c_{0,2} + c_{0,3}\kappa) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The special cases at the top end of summation occur at $i = \frac{m}{2}$ or $i = \frac{m-1}{2}$ (for m being even or odd, respectively) and in fact $c_{i,4} = 0$ and $(c_{i,2} + c_{i,3}\kappa) + \frac{r_{i-1}}{r_i}c_{i-1,4} = 0$ for these values of i . \square

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.

We use the same approach to Theorem 5, where we set $i = m \geq 0$ and extract the common factor

$$\begin{aligned} C_m &= \frac{c(2a_1 + m - 1, 2a_3 - m + 1, 2a_3 - m + 1, m + 1)(-2a_3 - 1)_m (-2a_2 - 1)_m}{s(2a_1, 2a_3 + 2, 2a_2 + 2, 0)m!(2a_1 + 1)_m (4\kappa + 2n + 1)(2\kappa + n)} \\ &= 2^{2m+1} \frac{(\kappa + a_1)_m (a_1 + \frac{1}{2})_m (-2a_3 - 1)_m (-2a_2 - 1)_m}{(-3 - 2\kappa - 2a_2 - 2a_3)_{2m+2} m! (2a_1 + 1)_m}. \end{aligned}$$

Next calculate (recall $n = a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + 1$)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{c(2a_1 + m + 1, 2a_3 + 1 - m, 2a_2 + 1 - m, m + 1)}{c(2a_1 + m - 1, 2a_3 + 1 - m, 2a_2 + 1 - m, m + 1)} &= \frac{(\kappa + a_1 + m)(2a_1 + 2m + 1)}{(4\kappa + 2n + 1)(2\kappa + n)}, \\ \frac{c(2a_1 + m, 2a_3 + 2 - m, 2a_2 + 2 - m, m)}{c(2a_1 + m - 1, 2a_3 + 1 - m, 2a_2 + 1 - m, m + 1)} &= \\ &= 2 \frac{(\kappa + a_2 + a_3 + 1 - m)(\kappa + a_2 + a_3 + \frac{3}{2} - m)}{(4\kappa + 2n + 1)(2\kappa + n)}, \\ \frac{c(2a_1 + m + 1, 2a_3 + 1 - m, 2a_2 + 1 - m, m - 1)}{c(2a_1 + m - 1, 2a_3 + 1 - m, 2a_2 + 1 - m, m + 1)} &= \frac{(2\kappa + 2a_2 + 1)(2\kappa + 2a_3 + 1)}{(2a_2 + 1)(2a_3 + 1)}, \\ \frac{c(2a_1 + m, 2a_3 - m, 2a_2 + 2 - m, m)}{c(2a_1 + m - 1, 2a_3 + 1 - m, 2a_2 + 1 - m, m + 1)} &= \frac{2\kappa + 2a_3 + 1}{2a_3 + 1}, \\ \frac{c(2a_1 + m, 2a_3 + 2 - m, 2a_2 - m, m)}{c(2a_1 + m - 1, 2a_3 + 1 - m, 2a_2 + 1 - m, m + 1)} &= \frac{2\kappa + 2a_2 + 1}{2a_2 + 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Write the right side of the summation formula in Theorem 5 as $d_m = A_m F(m; \kappa + 1, a_1, a_2, a_3)$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{A_m}{C_m} &= 4\kappa(\kappa + a_1 + m)(4\kappa + 3n + 2) \frac{(2a_2 - m + 1)(2a_3 - m + 1)}{(2a_2 + 1)(2a_3 + 1)}, \\ \frac{A_{m-1}}{A_m} &= \frac{2m(2a_1 + m)(\kappa + a_2 + a_3 + 1 - m)(\kappa + a_2 + a_3 + \frac{3}{2} - m)}{(\kappa + a_1 + m)(2a_1 + 2m - 1)(2a_2 - m + 1)(2a_3 - m + 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

The expression $\left(\frac{(-2a_2-1)_m(-2a_3-1)_m}{m!(2a_1+1)_m} t_m + d_{m-1} - d_m\right) / C_m$ with a_i replaced by v_i (formal parameters instead of integers) for $1 \leq i \leq 3$ becomes the left side of the equation in the following.

THEOREM 7. *Suppose $v_1, v_2, v_3 \notin -\frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{N}_0$, ($v_0 = v_1 + v_2 + v_3 + 1$) and $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ then*

$$\begin{aligned} &2(2v_1 + 1 + 2m)(\kappa + v_1 + m)(4\kappa + v_0) F(m + 1; \kappa, v_1, v_2 + 1, v_3 + 1) \\ &- 4(4\kappa + v_0) \left(\kappa + v_2 + v_3 + \frac{3}{2} - m\right) (\kappa + v_2 + v_3 + 1 - m) F(m; \kappa, v_1, v_2 + 1, v_3 + 1) \\ &- (2v_1 + m)(4\kappa + 2v_0 + 1)(2\kappa + v_0) F(m + 1; \kappa, v_1 - 1, v_2 + 1, v_3 + 1) \\ &- \frac{(2\kappa + 2v_2 + 1)(2\kappa + 2v_3 + 1)}{(2v_2 + 1)(2v_3 + 1)} m(4\kappa + 2v_0 + 1)(2\kappa + v_0) F(m - 1; \kappa, v_1 + 1, v_2, v_3) \\ &+ \frac{(2\kappa + 2v_3 + 1)}{(2v_3 + 1)} (2v_3 + 1 - m)(4\kappa + 2v_0 + 1)(2\kappa + v_0) F(m; \kappa, v_1, v_2 + 1, v_3) \\ &+ \frac{(2\kappa + 2v_2 + 1)}{(2v_2 + 1)} (2v_2 + 1 - m)(4\kappa + 2v_0 + 1)(2\kappa + v_0) F(m; \kappa, v_1, v_2, v_3 + 1) \\ &+ \frac{8m\kappa(2v_1 + m)}{(2v_2 + 1)(2v_3 + 1)(2v_1 + 2m - 1)} \left(\kappa + v_2 + v_3 + \frac{3}{2} - m\right) (\kappa + v_2 + v_3 + 1 - m) \\ &\times (4\kappa + 3v_0 + 2) F(m - 1; \kappa + 1, v_1, v_2, v_3) \\ &- \frac{4\kappa(2v_2 + 1 - m)(2v_3 + 1 - m)}{(2v_2 + 1)(2v_3 + 1)} (4\kappa + 3v_0 + 2)(\kappa + v_1 + m) F(m; \kappa + 1, v_1, v_2, v_3) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. The method is similar to the previous one; here we use γ_3 as point of reference for the ratio calculations. Write the identity as $\sum_{n=1}^8 \mu_n F(\gamma_n) = 0$ and use the notation $t_i(\gamma_n)$ as before. As before $g_{i,k}(\kappa) := (\kappa + i)_k (-\kappa - v_0 + i)_k$ (but here $v_0 = \sum_{j=1}^3 v_j + 1$, different from the previous theorem). Set

$$p_i(\kappa) = \sum_{n=1}^2 \mu_n \frac{t_{i+1}(\gamma_n)}{t_i(\gamma_3)} + \mu_3 + \sum_{n=4}^8 \mu_n \frac{t_i(\gamma_n)}{t_i(\gamma_3)}, \quad i \geq 1,$$

$$p_0(\kappa) = \sum_{n=1}^2 \mu_n t_1(\gamma_n) + \sum_{n=1}^8 \mu_n.$$

Then $p_i(\kappa)$ is a polynomial of degree 5 in κ . By computer algebra we find the coefficients $\{c_{ik}\}_{k=0}^5$, which are functions of i, m, v_1, v_2, v_3 , so that

$$p_i(\kappa) = c_{i,0} + c_{i,1}\kappa + (c_{i,2} + c_{i,3}\kappa) g_{i,1}(\kappa) + (c_{i,4} + c_{i,5}\kappa) g_{i,2}(\kappa).$$

Then the left side of the identity equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor (m+1)/2 \rfloor} p_i(\kappa) g_{0,i}(\kappa) r_i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor (m+1)/2 \rfloor} r_i ((c_{i,0} + c_{i,1}\kappa) g_{0,i} + (c_{i,2} + c_{i,3}\kappa) g_{0,i+1}(\kappa) + (c_{i,4} + c_{i,5}\kappa) g_{0,i+2}(\kappa)) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor (m+1)/2 \rfloor + 2} g_{0,i} (r_i (c_{i,0} + c_{i,1}\kappa) + r_{i-1} (c_{i-1,2} + c_{i-1,3}\kappa) + r_{i-2} (c_{i-2,4} + c_{i-2,5}\kappa)), \end{aligned}$$

where $r_i := \frac{t_i(\gamma_3)}{g_{0,i}(\kappa)}$. The degree of κ in μ_1 and μ_2 is 3 and thus $c_{0,4} + c_{0,5}\kappa$ agrees with the generic value of $c_{i,4} + c_{i,5}\kappa$ at $i = 0$. In the range $2 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \rfloor$ the value of $(c_{i,0} + c_{i,1}\kappa) + \frac{r_{i-1}}{r_i} (c_{i-1,2} + c_{i-1,3}\kappa) + \frac{r_{i-2}}{r_i} (c_{i-2,4} + c_{i-2,5}\kappa)$ is found to be zero by symbolic computation. Also $c_{0,0} + c_{0,1}\kappa = 0$ and $r_1 (c_{1,0} + c_{1,1}\kappa) + r_0 (c_{0,2} + c_{0,3}\kappa) = 0$. The special cases at the top end of summation occur at $i = \frac{m}{2}$ or $i = \frac{m+1}{2}$ (for m being even or odd, respectively) and in fact $c_{i,4} + c_{i,5}\kappa = 0$ and $(c_{i,2} + c_{i,3}\kappa) + \frac{r_{i-1}}{r_i} (c_{i-1,4} + c_{i-1,5}\kappa) = 0$ for these values of i . \square

This completes the proof of Theorem 5.

4. Closing Comments

Firstly we remark on the difficulty and complexity of the proof of the intertwining property. We speculate that one reason is that the measure on the linear transformations $\tau(q)$ is not uniquely defined. As was seen in the presentation it is only the integrals of $P_{a,b}^c(q)$ that matter. By Rösler's result [8] for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\kappa > 0$ there is a positive Baire measure μ_x such that $Vf(x) = \int f d\mu_x$ and the support of μ is contained in the closed convex hull of the B_2 -orbit of x . The explicit measure in Theorem 3 requires $\kappa > \frac{3}{2}$ and is not positive (combine the two parts into one and evaluate the kernel at $u = \varepsilon, \phi_1 = \phi_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}, \psi_1 = \varepsilon, \psi_2 = \pi - \varepsilon$ for small positive ε ; the value is $2(2\kappa - 3)\varepsilon^2 \cos \theta + \varepsilon^4 (1 + (7 - \frac{16}{3}) \cos \theta) + O(\varepsilon^5)$; let $\frac{\pi}{2} < \theta < \pi$). However the integral formula for the Bessel function $K^0(x, y)$ is positive and works for $\kappa > \frac{1}{2}$. It would be very interesting if one could develop explicit

results for the Coxeter groups A_n or B_n for $n \geq 3$. The corresponding compact Lie groups ($U(n+1)$ and $Sp(n)$, respectively) are fairly concrete but clearly we need more powerful techniques than we used here for $n = 2$.

Secondly there is the two-parameter problem. The group B_2 allows two parameters in the associated differential-difference operators (see (1.1)). The approach to finding the measure μ by starting with the Lie group $Sp(2)$ did not (as yet) help us in the two-parameter problem. This may be the hidden reason why the part of the intertwining formula associated with the representations of types 1 and 2 (realized on x_1x_2 and $x_1^2 - x_2^2$ respectively) is so complicated (involving derivatives); we were somehow (heuristically) close to the kernels for $\kappa_1 = \kappa + 1, \kappa_2 = \kappa$ and $\kappa_1 = \kappa, \kappa_2 = \kappa + 1$.

Finally we comment on the role of computer algebra. We produced $K_n(x, y)$ for $n \leq 6$ by solving the equations $T_i^x K_n(x, y) = y_i K_{n-1}(x, y), i = 1, 2$. Then by use of the symmetrized kernels $\frac{1}{8} \sum_{w \in B_2} K_n(xw, y)$ we conjectured that the measure μ is the right one. Borrowing the polynomial $2(q_1 + q_4) + q_1q_4 - q_2q_3$ from the S_3 paper [4] quickly helped to expand the conjecture. The part of the intertwining operator dealing with types 1 and 2 (see Section 2.1) turned out to be puzzling. Eventually we had a formula for V that worked for each $K_n(x, y)$ tried (up to $n = 12$), but no proof. Then experimenting with $P_{a,b}^c(q)$ led to discovering the single-sum for $s(\alpha)$ (see Section 1.4). The proof itself depends on classical transformations of hypergeometric series. In turn the single sum expression (which had three free parameters) made feasible enough experiments to formulate the identity in Theorem 4. The term-by-term calculations which prove the contiguity relations in Section 3.3 would be very tedious without symbolic computation assistance, and much space would be needed to write down every intermediate step. However it is straightforward to verify these relations for any particular m (magnitude depending on the size of the computer; $m = 1 \dots 6$ is not too big). There is some satisfaction in coaxing a symbolic computation system actually to prove a conjecture after it helped in the conjecture's formulation.

References

- [1] W. Bailey, *Generalized Hypergeometric Series*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1935.
- [2] C. Dunkl, Differential-difference operators associated to reflection groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **311** (1989), 167-183.
- [3] C. Dunkl, Operators commuting with Coxeter group actions on polynomials, *Invariant Theory and Tableaux*, (D. Stanton, ed.), Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1990, pp. 107-117.
- [4] C. Dunkl, Intertwining operators associated to the group S_3 , *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **347** (1995), 3347-3374.
- [5] C. Dunkl, M. de Jeu, and E. Opdam, Singular polynomials for finite reflection groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **346** (1994), 237-256.
- [6] C. Dunkl and Y. Xu, *Orthogonal Polynomials of Several Variables*, *Encycl. of Math. and its Applications* **81**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [7] S. Helgason, *Groups and Geometric Analysis*, Academic Press, New York, 1984.
- [8] M. Rösler, Positivity of Dunkl's intertwining operator, *Duke Math. J.* **98** (1999), 445-463.
- [9] M. Rösler, Dunkl operators: theory and applications, *Orthogonal Polynomials and Special Functions (Leuven 2002)*, (E. Koelink and W. Van Assche, eds.), LNIM 1817, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 2003, pp. 93-135.
- [10] Y. Xu, A product formula for Jacobi polynomials, *Special Functions (Hong Kong 1999)*, (C. Dunkl, M. Ismail, R. Wong, eds.), World Scientific, Singapore, 2000, pp. 423-430.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PO Box 400137, UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA, CHARLOTTESVILLE,
VA 22904-4137

E-mail address: `cf5z@virginia.edu`

URL: `http://www.people.virginia.edu/~cf5z/`