

## Lecture 19

Last time, introduced density matrix

$$P_{mn} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \sum_k \langle \phi_m | \psi^k \rangle \langle \psi^k | \phi_n \rangle$$

$\psi^k$  = quantum state of system  $k$

$\{\phi_n\}$  = basis states

or 
$$\hat{\rho} = \sum_n P_n |\phi_n\rangle \langle \phi_n|$$

$P_n$  = prob to find system in state  $\phi_n$

Calculate ensemble averages as

$$\langle G \rangle = \text{Tr} \hat{\rho} \hat{G} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\text{Tr} \hat{\rho} \hat{G}}{\text{Tr} \hat{\rho}}$$

Trace =  
sum of  
diagonal  
elements!

Compare classical version

$$\langle G \rangle = \int G(p, q) \rho(p, q) dw \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\int G \rho dw}{\int \rho dw}$$

See correspondence between  
classical phase space density  
& density matrix

And trace  $\leftrightarrow$  integral over phase space

Both ways to sum over states

For canonical ensemble, use

$$\begin{aligned} p_{nm} &= \frac{e^{-\beta E_n}}{Q_N} \delta_{nm} \\ &= \frac{e^{-\beta \hat{H}}}{\text{Tr} e^{-\beta \hat{H}}} \end{aligned}$$

For grand canonical ensemble, # of particles varies

Introduce number operator  $\hat{n}$

$$\hat{n} \psi^k = N_k \psi^k$$

$N_k = \#$  of particles in system  $k$

Then take

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\rho} &\propto e^{-\beta \hat{H} - \alpha \hat{n}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{Q}} e^{-\beta(\hat{H} - \mu \hat{n})} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{for } \mathcal{Q}(\mu, V, T) &= \sum_{N, r} e^{-\beta(E_r - \mu N)} \\ &= \text{Tr} e^{-\beta(\hat{H} - \mu \hat{n})} \end{aligned}$$

So ensemble average is

$$\langle G \rangle = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Q}} \text{Tr} \hat{G} e^{-\beta \hat{H}} e^{\beta \mu \hat{n}}$$

(assuming  $[\hat{H}, \hat{n}] = 0$ )

$$= \frac{1}{\mathcal{Q}} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} e^{\beta \mu N} \text{Tr} \hat{G}(N) e^{-\beta \hat{H}(N)}$$

Use  $\langle G \rangle_N = \text{canonical average}$

$$= \frac{\text{Tr } \hat{G} e^{-\beta \hat{H}}}{Q_N}$$

and  $z = e^{\beta \mu}$

So  $\langle G \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_N z^N \langle G \rangle_N Q_N$

and  $Z = \sum_N z^N Q_N$

So  $\langle G \rangle = \frac{\sum_N z^N \langle G \rangle_N Q_N}{\sum_N z^N Q_N}$

Expression valid for both classical & quantum cases

Differ in how  $\langle G \rangle_N$ ,  $Q_N$  computed

That's the basic framework.

Do a few examples:

Particle in a box

Say cubical box, side  $L$

Periodic boundary conditions

Get eigenstates

$$\phi_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{L^{3/2}} e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}}$$

with  $\vec{k} = \frac{2\pi}{L} (n_x, n_y, n_z)$

$n_i = \text{any integer}$

Energy  $E_{\vec{k}} = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m}$

Now evaluate  $\hat{\rho}$  in canonical ensemble

$$\hat{\rho} = \sum_{\vec{k}} e^{-\beta E_{\vec{k}}} |\phi_{\vec{k}}\rangle \langle \phi_{\vec{k}}|$$

More meaningful to evaluate in some basis

In  $|\phi_{\vec{k}}\rangle$  basis, get matrix elements

$$\rho_{\vec{k}\vec{k}'} = e^{-\beta E_{\vec{k}}} \delta_{\vec{k}\vec{k}'}$$

Know that  $\rho$  is diagonal in energy basis, so makes sense

Lets see in position basis

$$\langle \vec{r} | \hat{\rho} | \vec{r}' \rangle = \rho(\vec{r}, \vec{r}')$$

$$= \sum_{\vec{k}} e^{-\beta E_{\vec{k}}} \langle \vec{r} | \phi_{\vec{k}} \rangle \langle \phi_{\vec{k}} | \vec{r}' \rangle$$

$\downarrow$   
 $\phi_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r})$

$\downarrow$   
 $\phi_{\vec{k}}^*(\vec{r}')$

$$= \frac{1}{L^3} \sum_{\vec{k}} e^{-\frac{\beta \hbar^2 k^2}{2m}} e^{i\vec{k} \cdot (\vec{r} - \vec{r}')}$$

If box is big, sum  $\rightarrow$  integral

interval between  $k$ 's =  $\frac{2\pi}{L}$

So  $(\frac{2\pi}{L})^3 \rightarrow d^3k$

$$\rho(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') \rightarrow \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int e^{-\frac{\beta \hbar^2 k^2}{2m}} e^{i\vec{k} \cdot (\vec{r} - \vec{r}')} d^3k$$

Fourier transform of Gaussian

$$= \left(\frac{m}{2\pi\beta\hbar^2}\right)^{3/2} e^{-\frac{m}{2\beta\hbar^2} |\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|^2}$$

Recall  $\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar^2}{2\pi m kT}} = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi\hbar^2\beta}{m}}$

$$\rho(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') = \frac{1}{\lambda^3} e^{-\frac{\pi}{\lambda^2} |\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|^2}$$

Of course this isn't normalized.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Should divide by } Q_1 &= \text{Tr } \hat{\rho} = \sum_{\vec{k}} e^{-\beta E_{\vec{k}}} \\ &= \int d^3r \rho(\vec{r}, \vec{r}) \\ &= \frac{V}{\lambda^3} \end{aligned}$$

So normalized  $\rho = \frac{1}{V} e^{-\frac{\pi}{\lambda^2} |\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|^2}$

See:  $\rho(\vec{r}, \vec{r}) = \frac{1}{V} = \text{density}$

So  $\rho(\vec{r}, \vec{r}) d^3r = \text{probability to find particle at } \vec{r}$

Again see diagonal elements of  $\rho$  give probabilities

Here see off-diagonal elements  $\rho(\vec{r}, \vec{r}')$

$$\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |\vec{r} - \vec{r}'| \gg \Lambda$$

Recall  $\Lambda =$  thermal de Broglie wavelength

$\sim$  typical de Broglie wavelength for

$$E \sim k_B T$$

$\sim$  extent of wavefunction  $\psi(\vec{r})$

So  $\rho(\vec{r}, \vec{r}')$  is large if particle has quantum amplitude to be found at both  $\vec{r} + \vec{r}'$  at same time

small if not

Say  $\rho(\vec{r}, \vec{r}')$  describes quantum coherence between points at  $\vec{r}, \vec{r}'$

Like optical coherence:

If we sent our particle through a pair of slits, need slit spacing  $\lesssim \Lambda$  to observe interference.

Note, we can work in any basis we want

For instance, can calculate energy

$$\begin{aligned}\langle H \rangle &= \text{Tr} \hat{H} \hat{\rho} \\ &= \frac{1}{Q_1} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} E e^{-\beta E_{\mathbf{k}}} \\ &\rightarrow \frac{1}{Q_1} \left(\frac{L}{2\pi}\right)^3 \int d^3k \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} e^{-\beta \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m}} \\ &= \frac{3}{2\beta}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{or } \langle H \rangle &= \int d^3r \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla_r^2\right) \left(\frac{1}{V} e^{-\frac{\pi |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|^2}{\lambda^2}}\right) \Big|_{\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{r}'} \\ &= \frac{3}{2\beta}\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{or just } \langle H \rangle = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \ln Q_1 = \frac{3}{2\beta}$$

One more example:

Two level system

Specifically, electron in magnetic field  $B_z$

Eigenstates  $|m=+\frac{1}{2}\rangle$ ,  $|m=-\frac{1}{2}\rangle$

or just  $|\uparrow\rangle$   $|\downarrow\rangle$

Then  $\hat{\rho} \rightarrow 2 \times 2$  matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} \langle \downarrow | \rho | \downarrow \rangle & \langle \uparrow | \rho | \downarrow \rangle \\ \langle \downarrow | \rho | \uparrow \rangle & \langle \uparrow | \rho | \uparrow \rangle \end{bmatrix}$

Consider ensemble where  $|\uparrow\rangle$  &  $|\downarrow\rangle$  equally likely

$$P_{\uparrow} = P_{\downarrow} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\text{Then } \hat{\rho} = \frac{1}{2} |\uparrow\rangle\langle\uparrow| + \frac{1}{2} |\downarrow\rangle\langle\downarrow|$$

$$\text{so } \langle\uparrow|\hat{\rho}|\uparrow\rangle = \langle\downarrow|\hat{\rho}|\downarrow\rangle = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\langle\uparrow|\hat{\rho}|\downarrow\rangle = \langle\downarrow|\hat{\rho}|\uparrow\rangle = 0$$

$$\rho \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Compare ensemble where spin always points  
in  $+x$  direction

$$\text{Recall } |\uparrow_x\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow_z\rangle + |\downarrow_z\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle)$$

$$\text{So } \hat{\rho} = \frac{1}{2} (|\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle)(\langle\uparrow| + \langle\downarrow|)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (|\uparrow\rangle\langle\uparrow| + |\uparrow\rangle\langle\downarrow| + |\downarrow\rangle\langle\uparrow| + |\downarrow\rangle\langle\downarrow|)$$

$$\text{So matrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

See that diagonal terms same either way

Off-diagonal terms describe quantum

coherence that makes this a pure state