

Hamiltonian dynamics:

Use variables (q_σ, p_σ)

Eqs of motion $\dot{q}_\sigma = \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_\sigma} \right)_q$

$$\dot{p}_\sigma = - \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_\sigma} \right)_p$$

Lagrangian dynamics allows "point transformations"

$$q_\sigma \rightarrow Q_\sigma(q's, t)$$

Preserves eqn of motion $\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{Q}_\sigma} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial Q_\sigma} = 0$

Consider more general transform

$$q_\sigma \rightarrow Q_\sigma(q's, p's, t)$$

$$p_\sigma \rightarrow P_\sigma(q's, p's, t)$$

Assume invertible, so $q_\sigma = q_\sigma(Q, P, t)$

$$p_\sigma = p_\sigma(Q, P, t)$$

Also require eqn of motion unchanged:

$$\dot{Q}_\sigma = \frac{\partial \tilde{H}}{\partial P_\sigma} \quad \dot{P}_\sigma = - \frac{\partial \tilde{H}}{\partial Q_\sigma}$$

for some \tilde{H}

Use Hamilton's Principle $\delta S = \delta \int_{t_1}^{t_2} L dt = 0$

$$L = \sum p_\sigma \dot{q}_\sigma - H$$

so $\delta \int \left(\sum p_\sigma \dot{q}_\sigma - H \right) dt = 0$

Require new variables to satisfy

$$\delta \int \left(\sum P_\sigma \dot{Q}_\sigma - \tilde{H} \right) dt = 0$$

Works if $\boxed{\sum P_\sigma \dot{Q}_\sigma - \tilde{H} = \sum p_\sigma \dot{q}_\sigma - H + \frac{dF}{dt}}$

arb function F

For instance, might express $F = f(q, p, t)$

$$\text{then } \delta \int \frac{dF}{dt} dt = \delta [f(q_2, p_2, t_2) - f(q_1, p_1, t_1)] \\ = 0 \text{ since initial \& final conditions fixed}$$

This defines canonical transform

Rather awkward definition...

Given $Q(q, p, t)$ and $P(q, p, t)$ how do we know if it is canonical or not?

Turns out, Poisson brackets provide a test? [see later]

For now, reverse question...

Given \tilde{H} and F , what transform is defined?

In fact, only need F :

expressed as function of both old and new coords
→ any function of (q, Q) , (q, P) , (p, Q) or (p, P)
call $F =$ generating function

Suppose $F(q, Q, t)$

$$\text{Then } \frac{dF}{dt} = \sum_{\sigma} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial q_{\sigma}} \dot{q}_{\sigma} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial Q_{\sigma}} \dot{Q}_{\sigma} \right) + \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}$$

Canonical condition becomes

$$\sum_{\sigma} P_{\sigma} \dot{q}_{\sigma} - H(p, q) = \sum_{\sigma} P'_{\sigma} \dot{Q}_{\sigma} - \tilde{H}(P, Q) \\ + \sum_{\sigma} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial q_{\sigma}} \dot{q}_{\sigma} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial Q_{\sigma}} \dot{Q}_{\sigma} \right) + \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}$$

$$\text{or } \sum_{\sigma} \left(P_{\sigma} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial q_{\sigma}} \right) \dot{q}_{\sigma} - H = \sum_{\sigma} \left(P'_{\sigma} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial Q_{\sigma}} \right) \dot{Q}_{\sigma} - \tilde{H} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}$$

Satisfied if

$$a) \boxed{P_\sigma = - \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_\sigma} F(q, Q)}$$

\Rightarrow relation between P 's, Q 's & q 's

Implicitly gives $q_\sigma(P, Q, t)$

$$b) \boxed{P_\sigma = \frac{\partial}{\partial q_\sigma} F(q, Q)}$$

\Rightarrow relation between p 's, q 's & Q 's

\Rightarrow using (a), relation between p 's, Q 's, P 's

Implicitly gives $p_\sigma(P, Q, t)$

c) Given $q(P, Q)$ and $p(P, Q)$

invert to get $Q(p, q)$, $P(p, q)$

\Rightarrow Transformation implicitly defined

$$c) \boxed{\tilde{H} = H + \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}}$$

defines new Hamiltonian,
Express in terms of (P, Q)

Examples:

$$F = \sum_\sigma q_\sigma Q_\sigma$$

$$P_\sigma = \frac{\partial F}{\partial q_\sigma} = Q_\sigma$$

$$p_\sigma = -\frac{\partial F}{\partial Q_\sigma} = -q_\sigma$$

$$\text{or } (q, p) \rightarrow (P, -q)$$

Exchange roles of coords & momenta

$$\text{Here } \tilde{H} = H \text{ since } \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = 0$$

Example: $F = \frac{1}{2\alpha} \sum_{\sigma} (q_{\sigma} - Q_{\sigma})^2$

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial q_{\sigma}} = \frac{1}{\alpha} (q_{\sigma} - Q_{\sigma}) = p_{\sigma}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{Q_{\sigma} = q_{\sigma} - \alpha p_{\sigma}}$$

and $\frac{\partial F}{\partial Q_{\sigma}} = -\frac{1}{\alpha} (q_{\sigma} - Q_{\sigma}) = -p_{\sigma}$

$$\alpha p_{\sigma} = q_{\sigma} - Q_{\sigma} = q_{\sigma} - (q_{\sigma} - \alpha p_{\sigma}) = \alpha p_{\sigma}$$

So $\boxed{p_{\sigma} = p_{\sigma}}$

Again $\tilde{H} = H$

So, any $F(q, Q, t)$ defines transform

Turns out, function of (q, p, t) often most convenient
Changes generating relations...

Change from (q, Q) to (q, p) where $p = -\frac{\partial F}{\partial Q}$

\Rightarrow Legendre transform

Define $S(q, p, t) = F(q, Q, t) + \sum_{\sigma} p_{\sigma} Q_{\sigma}$

Then know

$$\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial q_{\sigma}}\right)_p = \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial q_{\sigma}}\right)_Q = p_{\sigma}$$

by property of Legendre

Also, clearly have $\frac{\partial S}{\partial p_{\sigma}} = Q_{\sigma}$ (since $\frac{\partial F}{\partial p_{\sigma}} = 0$)

So now

$$\boxed{p_{\sigma} = \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial q_{\sigma}}\right)_p, \quad Q_{\sigma} = \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial p_{\sigma}}\right)_q}$$

Example:

$$S(q, p, t) = \sum_{\sigma} f_{\sigma}(q, t) p_{\sigma}$$

$$Q_{\sigma} = \frac{\partial S}{\partial p_{\sigma}} = f_{\sigma}(q, t)$$

Defines point-transform (what Lagrangian allows)

So any point transform is a canonical transform

Also set
$$p_{\sigma} = \frac{\partial S}{\partial q_{\sigma}} = \sum_{\lambda} \frac{\partial f_{\lambda}}{\partial q_{\sigma}} p_{\lambda}$$

invert to get p_{λ}

Equivalent to finding $L(Q, \dot{Q})$ and setting

$$p_{\sigma} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{Q}_{\sigma}}$$

Example:

$$S_0 = \sum_{\sigma} q_{\sigma} p_{\sigma}$$

$$p_{\sigma} = \frac{\partial S}{\partial q_{\sigma}} = p_{\sigma}$$

$$Q_{\sigma} = \frac{\partial S}{\partial p_{\sigma}} = q_{\sigma}$$

Identity transform...
does nothing

Example:

$$S = S_0 + H dt$$

$H =$ original Hamiltonian

$dt =$ small parameter

$$p_{\sigma} = \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial q_{\sigma}} \right)_{\underline{p}} = \left(\frac{\partial S_0}{\partial q_{\sigma}} \right)_{\underline{p}} + \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_{\sigma}} \right)_{\underline{p}} dt$$

$$= p_{\sigma} + \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_{\sigma}} \right)_{\underline{p}} dt$$

We know $\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_{\sigma}} \right)_{\underline{p}} = -\dot{p}_{\sigma}$... what is $\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{\sigma}} \right)_{\underline{p}}$?

Chain rule formula:

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_\sigma}\right)_E &= \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_\sigma}\right)_P + \sum_\lambda \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_\lambda}\right)_E \left(\frac{\partial p_\lambda}{\partial q_\sigma}\right)_E \\ &= -\dot{p}_\sigma + \sum_\lambda \dot{q}_\lambda \left(\frac{\partial p_\lambda}{\partial q_\sigma}\right)_E\end{aligned}$$

Need $\left(\frac{\partial p_\lambda}{\partial q_\sigma}\right)_E$

Use $p_\lambda = P_\lambda + \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_\lambda}\right)_E dt$

$$\left(\frac{\partial p_\lambda}{\partial q_\sigma}\right)_E = \left(\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial q_\lambda \partial q_\sigma}\right)_E dt$$

So $\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_\sigma}\right)_E = -\dot{p}_\sigma + \sum_\lambda \dot{q}_\lambda \left(\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial q_\lambda \partial q_\sigma}\right)_E dt$

and

$$p_\sigma = P_\sigma - \dot{p}_\sigma dt + \sum_\lambda \dot{q}_\lambda \left(\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial q_\lambda \partial q_\sigma}\right)_E dt^2$$

In limit of small dt , last term $\rightarrow 0$

Leaves $P_\sigma(t) = p_\sigma(t) + \dot{p}_\sigma(t) dt$

$$P_\sigma(t) = p_\sigma(t+dt)$$

Similarly, get $Q_\sigma = q_\sigma(t+dt) + O(dt^2)$

So this S generates propagation in time!

In HW, show that translations & rotations are canonical transforms too.

Coolest transform: make $\tilde{H} = 0$

$$\text{Then } \dot{Q}_\sigma = \frac{\partial \tilde{H}}{\partial P_\sigma} = 0$$

$$\dot{P}_\sigma = -\frac{\partial \tilde{H}}{\partial Q_\sigma} = 0$$

All Q 's & P 's = constants

From $q_\sigma = q_\sigma(Q, P, t)$,
motion solved

But finding transform isn't easy

$$\text{Want } \tilde{H}(P, Q, t) = H(P, Q, t) + \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = 0$$

$$\text{Have } P_\sigma = \frac{\partial S}{\partial q_\sigma}, \text{ so}$$

$$H\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial q_1}, \frac{\partial S}{\partial q_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial S}{\partial q_n}, q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n, t\right) + \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = 0$$

ie, write out $H(p, q)$, replace $p \rightarrow \frac{\partial S}{\partial q}$ everywhere

$$\text{Example: } H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V(q)$$

$$\text{gives } \frac{1}{2m} \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial q}\right)^2 + V(q) + \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = 0$$

PDE for S

Called Hamilton-Jacobi equation

Solution gives $S(q, t)$... what about P 's?

P 's are "integration constants in solution"

H-J eqn has $n+1$ variables: q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n, t

→ Has $n+1$ constants in solution
get from initial conditions

n of these are P_σ 's

Last one is arb. additive const $S \rightarrow S + \text{const}$
(only derivs in H-J eqn)

Example $H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \hat{V}(\hat{q})$

$$\frac{1}{2m} \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial \hat{q}} \right)^2 + V(\hat{q}) + \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = 0$$

Note, t doesn't appear explicitly...

Try solution $S(\hat{q}, t) = W(\hat{q}) - \alpha_1 t$

$$\frac{1}{2m} \left(\frac{dW}{d\hat{q}} \right)^2 + V(\hat{q}) - \alpha_1 = 0$$

time independent

Already significant:

$$\tilde{H} = H + \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = 0$$

$$\text{So } H = -\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = \alpha_1$$

Proves $H = \text{const.}$ knew already

Also shows $\alpha_1 = E$

Then $\frac{dW}{d\hat{q}} = \pm \sqrt{2m(E-U)}$

$$W(\hat{q}) = \pm \int \sqrt{2m(E-U)} d\hat{q} + S_0$$

↑
indefinite
integral

↑
overall constant,
irrelevant

± sign set by initial conditions

Given $V(q)$, could maybe do integral
 anyway, have formal solution

Use S to get solution

Picked S so $P_\sigma + Q_\sigma = \text{const}$

Already identified $P_\sigma = \alpha_\sigma$

What about Q_σ ?

$$Q_\sigma = \frac{\partial S}{\partial P_\sigma} \equiv \beta_\sigma = \text{const}$$

So $\beta_\sigma = \frac{\partial S}{\partial \alpha_\sigma} = \text{const}$ } Set of n eqns involving
 q 's and t
 Solve to get $q_\sigma(t)$

Example:

$$S = \pm \int \sqrt{2m(E-V)} \cdot dq - Et$$

one coord, $\alpha_1 = E$

$$\text{So } \beta = \frac{\partial S}{\partial E} = \pm \int \sqrt{2m} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{E-V}} dq - t$$

$$\boxed{t = \beta^{-1} \pm \sqrt{\frac{m}{2}} \int \frac{dq}{\sqrt{E-V}}}$$

Same thing we get by solving $\frac{1}{2} m \dot{q}^2 + V(q) = E$

$$\dot{q} = \pm \sqrt{\frac{2}{m} (E-V)}$$

$$\pm \int \sqrt{\frac{m}{2}} \frac{dq}{\sqrt{E-V}} = \int dt = t + \text{const}$$

See $\beta =$ another integration constant

Another example: Kepler problem

Cylindrical coords (r, ϕ)

$$H = \frac{p_r^2}{2m} + \frac{p_\phi^2}{2mr^2} - \frac{\gamma m}{r}$$

$$\text{So } \frac{1}{2m} \left[\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial r} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial \phi} \right)^2 \right] - \frac{\gamma m}{r} + \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = 0$$

Since $\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \phi} = 0$, try

$$S(r, \phi, t) = W(r) - \alpha_1 t + \alpha_2 \phi$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{2m} \left[\left(\frac{dW}{dr} \right)^2 + \frac{\alpha_2^2}{r^2} \right] - \frac{\gamma m}{r} + \alpha_1 = 0$$

(recognize $\alpha_1 = E$ $\alpha_2 = L$)

$$\left(\frac{dW}{dr} \right)^2 = 2m\alpha_1 + \frac{2\gamma m^2}{r} - \frac{\alpha_2^2}{r^2}$$

$$W = \pm \int \sqrt{2m\alpha_1 + \frac{2\gamma m^2}{r} - \frac{\alpha_2^2}{r^2}} dr$$

Then

$$\beta_1 = \frac{\partial S}{\partial \alpha_1} = \pm \int \frac{m dr}{\sqrt{2m\alpha_1 + \frac{2\gamma m^2}{r} - \frac{\alpha_2^2}{r^2}}} dr - t$$

$$\beta_2 = \frac{\partial S}{\partial \alpha_2} = \pm \int \frac{\alpha_2}{r} \frac{dr}{\sqrt{2m\alpha_1 + \frac{2\gamma m^2}{r} - \frac{\alpha_2^2}{r^2}}} + \phi$$

Solve β_1 eqn to get $r(t)$

β_2 to get $\phi(r)$ or $r(\phi)$

Exactly same integrals we got in Ch 1

But notice! didn't need to know $\alpha_1 = E$, $\alpha_2 = L = \text{const}$

Here they are const that fall out of solution,

not tools used to solve problem